CAPITAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ISLAMABAD



Identification of Anti inflammatory Metabolites From *Trigonella foenum graecum*

by

Muhammad Maaz

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the degree of Master of Science

in the

Faculty of Health and Life Sciences Department of Bioinformatics and Biosciences

2021

Copyright \bigodot 2021 by Muhammad Maaz

All rights reserved. No part of this thesis may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, by any information storage and retrieval system without the prior written permission of the author. Dedicated to **ALLAH Almighty**, **Hazrat Muhammad (PBUH)**, my Parents and my respected Teachers who have been a constant source of motivation and encouragement during the challenges and supporting me spiritually throughout my life.



CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

Identification of Anti-inflammatory Metabolites From *Trigonella foenum graecum*

 $\mathbf{b}\mathbf{y}$

Muhammad Maaz (MBS191003)

THESIS EXAMINING COMMITTEE

S. No.	Examiner	Name	Organization
(a)	External Examiner	Dr. Sabba Mehmood	NUMS, Rawalpindi
(b)	Internal Examiner	Dr. Arshia Amin Butt	CUST, Islamabad
(c)	Supervisor	Dr. Erum Dilshad	CUST, Islamabad

Dr. Erum Dilshad Thesis Supervisor February, 2021

Dr. Sahar Fazal Head Dept. of Biosciences & Bioinformatics February, 2021 Dr. Muhammad Abdul Qadir Dean Faculty of Health & Life Sciences February, 2021

Author's Declaration

I, Muhammad Maaz hereby state that my MS thesis titled "Identification of Anti inflammatory Metabolites From *Trigonella foenum graecum*" is my own work and has not been submitted previously by me for taking any degree from Capital University of Science and Technology, Islamabad or anywhere else in the country/abroad.

At any time if my statement is found to be incorrect even after my graduation, the University has the right to withdraw my MS Degree.

(Muhammad Maaz)

Registration No: MBS191003

Plagiarism Undertaking

I solemnly declare that research work presented in this thesis titled "Identification of Anti inflammatory Metabolites From *Trigonella foenum graecum*" is solely my research work with no significant contribution from any other person. Small contribution/help wherever taken has been dully acknowledged and that complete thesis has been written by me.

I understand the zero tolerance policy of the HEC and Capital University of Science and Technology towards plagiarism. Therefore, I as an author of the above titled thesis declare that no portion of my thesis has been plagiarized and any material used as reference is properly referred/cited.

I undertake that if I am found guilty of any formal plagiarism in the above titled thesis even after award of MS Degree, the University reserves the right to withdraw/revoke my MS degree and that HEC and the University have the right to publish my name on the HEC/University website on which names of students are placed who submitted plagiarized work.

(Muhammad Maaz)

Registration No: MBS191003

Acknowledgement

I humbly thanks **Allah Almighty**, the Merciful and most Beneficent who best owed his innumerable blessings upon mankind, one of which is knowledge a distinction for mankind. I offer my gratitude to the **Holy Prophet Muhammad** (**PBUH**) who preached us to seek knowledge for the betterment of mankind in particular and other creatures in general.

I am deeply indebted to my supervisor **Dr. Erum Dilshad**, Assistent Professor, Department of Bioinformatics and Biosciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Capital University of Science and Technology Islamabad (CUST), Pakistan. Her guidance, continuous encouragement and productive criticism throughout my study have helped me in completion of my thesis. I am grateful to Dr. Erum Dilshad & Sir. Naeem Ashraf Assistent Professor, at University of Gujrat, to provide research facilities. I would like to extend my appreciation to those academic colleagues, who have helped me in any possible way during my MS-degree. I wish to express my heartfelt appreciation to my sweet friends and colleagues specially Mr Suliman, Ms Umm-e-Farwa, Ms Ayesha Safder & Ms Sana Javed. Finally, to the most special persons I have in my life, my family, who have always present for my help. My beloved mother who gave me my drive, my beloved father who gave me my dreams, my brothers who have always been there for me, I am thankful for every moment.

Thanks to all.

(Muhammad Maaz)

Abstract

Current lifestyles, stress and toxic waste have extremely increased the incidence of various diseases in humans. Worldwide, researchers are looking for therapeutic agents that can cure or delay the onset of diseases. In the advanced countries, herbal drugs are in high demand for primary health care due to their efficacy, safety and low side effects as compare to synthetic medicine. So there are many herbal remedies that are used today to treat various infectious diseases. Trigonella foenum-graecum is an annual plant with in the family Fabaceae. This plant is used as a spice throughout the world and commonly grown in Pakistan, India and some Middle Eastern countries, which has many beneficial medicinal effects. It is known for its medicinal properties for example anti-inflammatory, anticarcinogenic, antidiabetic and immunological activities. Trigonella foenum-graecum edible part of the leaves contains moisture (86.1%), carbohydrates (6%), protein (4.4%), minerals (1.5%), fiber (1.1%) and fat (0.9%). Most important phytochemicals found in fenugreek seeds are carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, alkaloids, flavonoids, fiber and steroidal saponins, etc., which can be classified as volatile and non-volatile ingredients. Hence these plants are rich source of bioactive compounds. Identifying natural, plant-based and non-toxic anti-inflammatory drugs is essential for the treatment of various inflammatory diseases. Cyclooxygenas-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase were selected as the target proteins and alkaloid, flavonoid, phytic acid, 4-hydroxyisoleucin, sapogenin, quercetin, trigonelline, tricin, naringenin and flavonol were selected as a ligands for the current study. Molecular Docking is technique used to estimate the strength of a bond between a ligand and a target protein through a special scoring function and to determine the correct structure of the ligand within the target binding site. The 3D structure of the target proteins and the ligands was taken as the input for docking. The docking was performed using Cox-2, m PGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase proteins and ligands were alkaloid, flavonoid, phytic acid, 4-hydroxyisoleucin, sapogenin, quercetin, trigonelline, tricin, naringenin and flavonol. The best ligand was selected on the basis of best docking score, logp value, hydrogen bond acceptor, hydrogen bond donor and molecular weight. Sapogenin was identified as the best lead compound which shows the best docking score, hydrogen bonding and pharmacokinetic properties as compare to other ligands such as alkaloid, flavonoid, phytic acid, 4-hydroxyisoleucin, quercetin, trigonelline, tricin, naringenin and flavonol. The selection of most efficient antiinflammatory drug was based on the physiochemical and ADMET properties along mechanism of action with side effects. Therefore celebrex was selected as a best anti-inflammatory drug as compare to other anti-inflammatory drugs such as Aspirin, Ibuprofen, Paracetamol, Diclofenac and Naproxen. The comparison between Celebrex and Sapogenin help us to identify the better treatment for infectious diseases. Comparison was being performed through parameters like; ADMET properties and physiochemical properties. So it is determine that Sapogenins bioactive compound which shows us better result over celebrex according to comparison. All the software's and tools used in the current research study are reliable and authenticate.

Keywords: Medicinal Plants, *Trigonella Foenum Graecum*, Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs), Molecular Docking, Proteins, Ligands, ADMET Properties, Physiochemical Properties.

Contents

A [.]	utho	r's Dec	claration	iv
P	lagiaı	rism U	ndertaking	\mathbf{v}
A	cknov	wledge	ment	vi
A	bstra	ct		vii
Li	st of	Figur	e s	xiii
Li	st of	Table	5	xiv
A	bbre	viation	IS	xvi
1	Intr 1.1 1.2 1.3	oducti Backg Proble Aims	on round	1 . 1 . 5 . 6
2	Lit e 2.1	rature Inflam	\mathbf{Review}	7 . 7
		2.1.1	Signs And Symptoms of Inflammation	. 7
		2.1.2	2.1.2.1 Acute Inflammation	. 8
		2.1.3	2.1.2.2 Chronic Inflammation	. 8 . 10
		2.1.4	Treatment of Inflammation	. 11 . 11
			2.1.4.2 Acupuncture	. 13 . 14
	$2.2 \\ 2.3$	Medic Trigor	inal Plants	. 14 . 15
		2.3.1 2.3.2	Classification of <i>T. foenum-graecum</i>	. 16 . 18

		2.3.3	Bioactive Compounds In <i>Trigonella foenum graceum</i> As In-	10
			D 2 2 1 Steroid Concerns	19
			2.3.3.1 Steroid Saponnis	19
			2.3.3.2 1 Ory 1 Helloi	20
			2.3.3.3 Aikaloids	20
			$2.3.5.4$ Flavonolds \ldots	20
			2.5.5.5 4-Hydroxylsoleuciii	21
			2.5.5.0 Phytic Acid	21
			2.5.5.7 Quercetin	21
			2.3.3.8 Ingonenne	22
			2.3.3.9 Tricin	22
			2.3.3.10 Naringenin	22
	0.4	—	2.3.3.11 Flavonol	22
	2.4	Target	ed Proteins	23
		2.4.1	Cyclooxygenases	23
		2.4.2	Microsomal PGES-2	24
		2.4.3	Human Neutrophil Elastase (HNE)	25
	~ ~	2.4.4	Tyrosinase	25
	2.5	Molect	llar Docking	26
3	Met	hodol	ogy	28
	3.1	Diseas	e Selection	29
	3.2	Target	Proteins Selection	30
	3.3	Prima	ry Sequence Retrieval	30
	3.4	Analys	is of Physiochemical Properties	30
	3.5	Functi	onal Domain Identification of Targeted Proteins	31
	3.6	3D Sti	ucture Predictions of Protein	31
	3.7	Retrie	val of Chemical Structure of Ligands	31
	3.8	Energy	Minimization of Ligands	32
	3.9	Bioact	ivity Analysis of Ligands and Toxicity Measurement	32
	3.10	Molect	ılar Docking of Targeted Proteins	32
		3.10.1	Process of Molecular Docking	33
		3.10.2	Active Site Identification	33
	3.11	Protei	Ligand Interaction	33
	3.12	Ligano	ADME Properties	34
	3.13	Lead (Compound Identification	34
	3.14	Inflam	matory Drug Identification	34
	3.15	Inflam	matory Drug Selection	34
	3.16	Anti-I	nflammatory Drug Docking	35
	3.17	NSAII	Drug-Proposed Anti-inflammatory Agent Comparison	35
4	Res	ults ar	d Discussions	36
	4.1	Struct	ure Modeling	36
		4.1.1	Primary Sequence Retrieval	36

	4.1.2 Physiochemical Characterization of Cyclooxygenas-2, mPGES-
	2, HNE and Tyrosinase $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 38$
	4.1.3 3D Structure Predictions of Protein
	4.1.4 Functional Domain Identification of Proteins 41
	4.1.5 Template Selection
	4.1.6 Structure of Proteins Refined for Docking
4.2	Ligand Selection
4.3	Virtual Screening and Toxicity Prediction
	4.3.1 Toxicity Prediction
	$4.3.1.1 \text{Alkaloids} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots 52$
	$4.3.1.2 \text{Flavonoid} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots 52$
	4.3.1.3 Phytic Acid
	$4.3.1.4 4-Hydroxy isoleucine \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 54$
	4.3.1.5 Sapogenin
	$4.3.1.6 \text{Quercetin} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
	$4.3.1.7 \text{Trigonelline} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
	4.3.1.8 Tricin
	4.3.1.9 Naringenin
	4.3.1.10 Flavonol
4.4	Molecular Docking
4.5	Interaction of Ligands and Target Protein
4.6	ADME Properties of Ligands
	4.6.1 Absorption
	4.6.2 Distribution
	4.6.3 Metabolism
	4 6 4 Excretion 78
47	Lead Compound Identification 70
4.8	Anti-Inflammatory Drug Identification 70
4.9	Selection of Anti-Inflammatory Drugs
1.0	4.9.1 Celebrey Drug 83
4 10	Drug ADMET Properties 84
1.10	4 10.1 Toxicity Prediction of Selected Drug 84
	4.10.2 Absorption Properties
	4.10.2 Distribution Properties
	4.10.5 Distribution repetites
	4.10.5 Exerction Properties 86
1 11	Colobrey Mechanism of Action
4.11	Celebrer Effects on Pody.
4.12	Celebrer Decking
4.10	Celebrer and Anti Inflammature Ameri
4.14	Celebrex and Anti-Innaminatory Agent
	4 14 1 ADMET Depending Comparison
	4.14.1 ADMET Properties Comparison
	4.14.1.1 Toxicity Comparison
	4.14.1.2 Absorption Properties Comparison

	4.14.1.3 Distribution Properties Comparison	90
	4.14.1.4 Metabolic Properties Comparison	90
	4.14.1.5 Excretion Properties Comparison	91
	4.14.2 Physiochemical Properties Comparison	91
5	Conclusions and Future Prospects5.1Future Prospects	93 93
B	ibliography	95

List of Figures

2.1	Given Figure Represents <i>T. foenum-graecum</i> Plant And Seeds Given Figure Indicates Multiple Pharmacological Effects of <i>T. foenum</i>	17
2.2	graceum.	19
2.3	Mechanism when Cyclooxygenase form Prostanoids, together with	
	Arachidonic Acid from Prostaglandins	24
3.1	Flow Chart of Methodology (A)	28
3.2	Flow Chart of Methodology (B)	29
4.1	Two Functional Domains of Cox-2 with Residues Length	42
4.2	One Functional Domain of Mpges-2 with Residues Length	42
4.3	One Functional Domain of HNE with Residues Length.	43
4.4	One Functional Domain of Tyrosinase with Residues Length	43
4.5	Given Figure Represented 3d Structures of Cox-2	46
4.6	Given Figure Represented 3d Structures of mPGES-2	46
4.7	Given Figure Represented 3d Structures of HNE	47
4.8	Given Figure Represented 3d Structures of Tyrosinase	47
4.9	2D Representation of Docked Complex Alkaloid Using Ligplot-Plus	62
4.10	2D Representation of Docked Complex Flavonoid Using Ligplot-Plus	63
4.11	2D Representation of Docked Complex Phytic Acid Using Ligplot-	
	Plus	64
4.12	2D Representation of Docked Complex 4-Hydroxyisoleucine Using	
	Ligplot-Plus	64
4.13	2D Representation of Docked Complex Sapogenin Using Ligplot-Plus	65
4.14	2D Representation of Docked Complex Quercetin Using Ligplot-Plus	65
4.15	2D Representation of Docked Complex Trigonelline Using Ligplot-	
	Plus	66
4.16	2D Representation of Docked Complex Tricin Using Ligplot-Plus	66
4.17	2D Representation of Docked Complex Naringenin Using Ligplot-Plus	67
4.18	2D Representation of Docked Complex Flavonol Using Ligplot-Plus	67
4.19	2D Structure of Celebrex Drug from PubChem Database	83
4.20	Celebrex Drug Mechanism of Action Through Drug Bank	86

List of Tables

2.1	Given Table Summarized Differences Between Acute And Chronic Inflammation
2.2	Following Table Estimated the Prevalence And Number of People
2.2	with Anti-Inflammatory Diseases
2.3	Following Table Shows Anti-Inflammatory Agents and Drugs and
	Their Action
2.4	Following Table Shows Taxonomic Hierarchy of Trigonella foenum
	graceum
4.1	Physiochemical Properties of Cyclooxygenase-2 (Cox-2).
4.2	Physiochemical Properties of Microsomal Prostaglandin E Synthase-
	2 (mPGES-2)
4.3	Physiochemical Properties of Human Neutrophil Elastase (HNE).
4.4	Physiochemical Properties of Tyrosinase.
4.5	Functional Domain Identification of Cox-2, m PGES-2, HNE and
	Tyrosinase
4.6	Selected PDB Templates Structures
4.7	Following Table Represents Name, Molecular Formula, Molecular
	Weight and Structure of Ligands
4.8	Following Table Represents Name, Molecular Formula, Molecular
	Weight and Structure of Excluded Ligands
4.9	Applicability of Lipinski Rule on Ligands
4.10	Lipinski Rules of Excluded Ligands
4.11	Toxicity Values Of Alkaloids
4.12	Toxicity Values of Flavonoids
4.13	Toxicity Values of Phytic Acid
4.14	Toxicity Values of 4-Hydroxyisoleucine
4.15	Toxicity Values of Sapogenin
4.16	Toxicity Values of Quercetin
4.17	Toxicity Values of Trigonelline
4.18	Toxicity Values of Tricin
4.19	Toxicity Values of Naringenin
4.20	Toxicity Values Of Flavonol
4.21	A: Ligands with Best Binding Score Values with Cox-2, M PGES-2,
	HNE and Tyrosinase
4.22	Active Ligand Showing Hydrogen and Hydrophobic Interactions (

4.23	Absorption Properties of Ligands	75
4.24	Absorption Properties of Ligands	75
4.25	Distribution of Ligands	76
4.26	Distribution of Ligands	76
4.27	Metabolic Properties of Ligands	77
4.28	Metabolic Properties of Ligands	78
4.29	Excretory Properties of Ligands	78
4.30	Excretory Properties of Ligands	79
4.31	Drugs And Their Mechanism of Action	80
4.32	Given Table Shows Us Drugs and Their Properties	81
4.33	Given Table Shows Us Drugs and Their Properties	82
4.34	Physiochemical Properties of Celebrex	84
4.35	Toxicity Values of Celebrex	84
4.36	Absorption Values of Celebrex	85
4.37	Distribution Properties of Selected Drug Celebrex	85
4.38	Metabolic Properties of Selected Drug Celebrex	85
4.39	Excretion Properties of Selected Drug Celebrex	86
4.40	Celebrex Docking Scores Via Cb Dock	87
4.41	Celebrex and Sapogenins Lipinski Rule of Fives	88
4.42	Toxicity Values of Celebrex & Sapogenin Via PkCSM Tool	89
4.43	Absorption Properties of Celebrex Drug and Sapogenin	89
4.44	Distribution Properties of Celebrex Drug and Sapogenin	90
4.45	Metabolic Properties of Celebrex Drug and Sapogenin	90
4.46	Excretion Properties of Celebrex Drug and Sapogenin	91
4.47	Comparison Between Physiochemical Properties of Celebrex and	
	Sapogenin	92

Abbreviations

ADMET	Absorption Distribution Metabolism Excretion & Toxicity	
AI	Aliphatic Index	
BBB	Blood brain barrier	
Cox-2	Cyclooxygenase-2	
CB Dock	ck Cavity-detection guided Blind Docking	
CADD	Computer-Aided Drug Designing	
\mathbf{CNS}	Central Nervous System	
CYP2D6	Cytochrome P450 2D6	
FDA	Food and Drug Administration	
GRAVY	Grand average of hydropathicity	
HNE	Human neutrophil elastase	
HBA	Hydrogen Bond Acceptor	
HBD	Hydrogen Bond Donor	
hERG	Human Ether-a-go-go-Related Gene	
II	Instability Index	
KEGG	Kyoto Encyclopedia of genes and genomes	
mPGES-2	Microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-2	
$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{W}$	Molecular weight	
\mathbf{NR}	Total number of negatively charged residues $(Asp + Glu)$	
NSAIDs	Non Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs	
OCT2	Organic cation transporter 2	
PTGS	Prostaglandins	
PGE2	Prostaglandin-E2	
PDB	Protein data bank	

pITheoretical pIPRTotal number of positively charged residues (Asp + Glu)TYRP-1Tyrosinase Protein 1TYRP-2Tyrosinase Protein 2VDssVolume of Distribution at steady stateWHOWorld Health Organization

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Genus Trigonella foenum-graecum (fenugreek) is an annual plant within the family Fabaceae. Fenugreek also called methi in Hindi, is an aromatic herbaceous plant and is a very significant spice and is commonly used as a traditional food and medicine. The dried seeds of these plants are extremely used in food and beverages as a flavor and supplement [1]. It is grown all over the world as a dry crop. Its seeds and leaves are common ingredients in transportation from the Indian subcontinent and are mostly used in traditional medicine. The *Trigonella foenum-graecum* edible part of the leaves contains moisture, carbohydrates, protein, minerals, fiber and fat. Hence these plants are good source of proteins, fats, carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins for example vitamin A, B1 and C. T. *foenumgraecum* when added to food, have antimicrobial activity to stop the growth of microorganisms in food. Trigonella foenum-graecum has antibacterial, antifungal, and antioxidant properties and therefore they can successfully stop the growth of microbial pathogens and help in food preservation and improve the shelf life of foods [2].

The seed and leaves of this plant mostly are used for the treatment of many other diseases in several traditional systems together with Ayurvedic medicine. In Ayurvedic system, individually fenugreek seeds and leaves are used to prepare powder or extract for medicinal purposes [3]. Trigonella foenum-graecum cold water extract, called fenugreek tea, is usually used against respiratory infections (pneumonia & bronchitis) and subsequently it nourishes the body during illness, this herb is specifically used to reduce temperature, inflammation when taken with honey and lemon. This plant has been shown to possess, hypolipidemic and hypoglycaemic activities [4]. The plant's fenugreek is known for its ability to maintain cholesterol levels, as well as its ability to maintain glucose levels. Trigonella foenum-graecum pharmacological properties as well as antiviral, antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory activity have been reported [5]. The pharmacological and biological actions of fenugreek are recognized due to the diversity of its constituents as well as secondary metabolites which is derived additionally called phytochemicals. Most important phytochemicals present in fenugreek seeds are carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, alkaloids, flavonoids, fiber and steroidal saponins, etc., which can be classified as volatile and non-volatile ingredients [6].

Inflammation is defense mechanisms that protect tissue from harmful stimuli such as pathogens, allergens and damaged cells. This is often usually characterized by redness, swelling, pain and heat at the affected site. The mechanisms concerned within the inflammation like release of amine, bradykinin, and prostaglandins. When the inflammatory pathway is activated, the cells release a number of proinflammatory factors, containing several cytokines and chemokine's, to protect against disease causing agents such as pathogen. It is recognized that inflammation can release molecules, which create micro-environments that can be extremely helpful [7].

Cyclooxygenase enzyme also called prostaglandins (PTGS), which is responsible for the formation of prostanoids, together with arachidonic acid from prostaglandins such as Thromboxane and Prostaglandin. There are two isoforms of cyclooxygenase that are known as cyclooxygenase-1 and Cyclooxygenase-2. Cyclooxygenase-1 functions as a housekeeping isoform of Cyclooxygenases and is mainly expressed to serve functions resembling management of urinary organ blood flow, stomach protection against ulcers and preparation of prostaglandin E-2 [8]. On the other hand Cyclooxygenase- 2 is associated in an inducible initial response which is activated in response to genes and numerous extracellular or intracellular physiological stimuli. Cyclooxygenase-2 is an important mediator of the inflammatory pathway [9]. Associated protein cyclooxygenase (COX-2) could also be responsible for the high levels of prostaglandins (PGs) in abundant inflammatory condition. Cyclooxygenase-2 excessive expression metabolizes accumulation of PGE2. Cyclooxygenase-2 is a selective inhibitor that can be a category of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) which directly targeted cyclooxygenase-2 [10].

The molecules that targeted the flow of prostaglandin synthesis-2 regulate many signaling pathways and control apoptotic proteins and thus contribute to numerous physiological processes as well as proliferation, survival, mutation and metastasis. And therefore the excessive-expression and up-regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 are mostly connected with inflammation, unchecked cell division and cell death. Fenugreek seeds were found to possess anti-inflammatory activities. The inflammatory action of fenugreek is due to the inhibition of cyclooxygenase proteins (COX-1 and COX-2) and lipid peroxidation due to the inhibition of flavonoids-C-glycosides, triglycerides and fatty acids present inside the seeds. [11].

Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) is an eicosanoid lipid mediator that significantly contributes to the pathogenesis of many inflammatory diseases. PGE-2 is formed when phospholipase secreted arachidonic acid from the plasma membrane and contains two cyclooxygenases such as cyclooxygenase-1 and cyclooxygenase-2 and specific isomers, like mPGES-1 and mPGES-2. The most commonly used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), such as ibuprofen, silicoxyb and acetaminophen (Paracetamol) have been shown to block inflammation, pain, and fever by blocking cyclooxygenase activity and thus reducing the generation of PGE-2 in injured tissues and proven useful in the treatment of Nervous system [12]. Consequently, the flow pathway elements of cyclooxygenase enzymes in the biosynthetic reaction of PGE-2, terminal synthesis and receptors are considered more specific targets for the treatment of pain and inflammation. Out of threeterminal synthesis, mPGES-1 frequently combines functionally with COX-2 and is the primary source of inflammatory prostaglandin E- 2 synthesis. Inhibition of mPGES-1 has shown similar effects with NSAIDs in various experimental animal models. That's why, the development of mPGES-1 inhibitors is still complex. Our studies suggested that, while in treatment strategies targeting macrophages have to be challenged, macrophages serve as a better drug target for mPGES-1 anti-inflammatory therapy that could potentially As COX-2 can avoid inhibition. However, such an approach would actually protect the analgesic efficacy expected from NSAIDs, which needs further verification [13]. Prostaglandin E synthase-2 combines with four specific G proteins to act on receptor subtypes, called EP1–4 receptor. Targeting four receptors which are also being followed in the development of analgesics as an alternative approach to CoX-2 inhibitors. Targeting mPGES-1 and possibly four PGE-2 receptors could serve as promising strategies for the evolution of anti-inflammatory drugs for the next generation [14].

Hyperpigmentation or Hypopigmentation of the skin after infection is a very common sign. Several acute or chronic inflammatory skin reactions can produce a change in skin color. Melanosome are unique organs to be found in the cytoplasm of melanocytes, containing important enzymes that control the pigmentation of skin color, such as tyrosinase enzymes and its type's TYRP-1 and TYRP-2 [15]. SO current studies have confirmed that interleukin IL-1, IL-4, IL-6 and other inflammatory mediators can directly or indirectly control the proliferation and differentiation of human melanocytes and melanogenesis [16]. Neutrophils elastase is another enzyme which plays a vital part in the pathogenesis of many infections, from chronic inflammatory diseases to infectious diseases [17]. Human neutrophil elastase (HNE) is a protease enzyme that belongs to the chemotrypsinlike family of serine proteins. Neutrophils survive quickly in the blood of healthy people (round about 8 hours), but after inflammation they become active and their longevity can increase up to 5 days. When inflammatory stimuli develop in peripheral tissues, neutrophils are quickly recruited to the anatomical location of inflammation. Neutrophil degranulation is responsible for the release of various inflammatory mediators for example neutrophil elastase, catechin G and proteinase-3 [18]. 4-hydroxyisoleucine, alkaloid, flavonoid and phytic acid are the derivatives of *T. foenum-graecum*. The alkaloid and flavonoid content of fenugreek seeds might be responsible for the inflammatory effects. 4-hydroxyisoleucine could be a unique organic compound isolated from fenugreek seeds. Phytic acid is abundant in nature (especially in plants). It accounts for 1 to 5% weight of edible cereals, legumes, nuts, oil seeds and these are also derived from fenugreek [19].

Docking is an Insilco method used to estimate the strength of a bond between a ligand and a target protein through a special scoring function and to determine the correct structure of the ligand within the target binding site. The 3Dimentional structure of the target proteins and the ligands is taken as the input for docking [20]. It is recognized that this novel small molecular compounds, demonstrates essential properties, such as high interaction between target binding to target proteins with proper absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) to help in lead selection for the target [21]. The purpose of molecular docking is to simulate the process of molecular identification of a target protein and the ligands. It also focuses on achieving the minimum independent energy of the whole system, which includes proteins and ligands with proper alignment [22]. The mechanism of molecular docking of the proteins can be performed between small ligands, protein peptides, protein proteins, and protein nucleotides. Most frequent software used for docking are Auto Dock vina, Auto Dock, CB Dock and ICM etc [23]. Therefore various bioactive compounds present in fenugreek have been reported to possess a diversity of pharmacological and biological activities for example; antibacterial, antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, anticarcinogenic and antioxidant activities. So thus all of these derivatives have been considered as a ligand and all of them having potential to dock with different enzymes such as microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-2 (mPGES-2), cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), human neutrophil elastase (HNE) and tyrosinase enzymes [24].

1.2 Problem Statement

Probably it is estimated that the start of 2021, 126 million people worldwide will be affected with inflammatory diseases. Although many treatments for inflammatory diseases are available, but some new herbal medicine need to be discovered that will have fewer side effects instead of synthetic ones that will cure the disease and also show less side effect [25]. Plant extracts have been used in ethno-medical treatments that have fewer side effects as compared with synthetic treatment.

1.3 Aims and Objectives

The aims of this study is to identify Cyclooxygenase, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase enzyme inhibitors, harmless and natural anti-inflammatory compound from T. foenum-graecum. And therefore we focus on protein-ligand interactions, which play a significant role in structural drug design. To achieve the goal we have following objectives:

- To identify various bioactive compounds of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* as potential inhibitors of cyclooxygenases, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase enzymes.
- To analyze the binding conformation between targeted proteins and other inhibitors as standard anti-inflammatory agents.
- To compare the results of inhibitors or ligands with standard anti-inflammatory drugs and selection of lead compound.

Chapter 2

Literature Review

2.1 Inflammation

Inflammation is defense mechanisms that protect tissue from harmful stimuli and it is a complex biological reaction of vascular tissues including possibly harmful external and internal stimuli such as disease causing agents, chemicals and foreign agents. The immune system response to injury and infection process of inflammation is an important part. It is a way of signaling the body's immune system to restore and repair damaged tissues, as well as protecting itself from foreign invaders, such as pathogen (bacteria and viruses) [26]. However, this can be a problem if the inflammation continues for a longer period of time or if the inflammatory reaction occurs in places where it is not needed. Inflammation is a general response, and therefore it is considered as natural immune system, rather than adaptive immunity, specific to each pathogen [27].

2.1.1 Signs And Symptoms of Inflammation

The sign and symptoms can also depend on the condition that contains the inflammatory component. Signs and symptoms of inflammation are often usually characterized by pain, redness, swelling, and loss of function. It can also cause flu-like symptoms; which are fever, loss of appetite, fatigue, muscle stiffness, and headaches [28].

2.1.2 Types of Inflammation

Inflammations are classified into two main types the one is acute inflammation and the other is chronic inflammation.

2.1.2.1 Acute Inflammation

Acute inflammation is types of inflammation, that is an immediate and early response to injuries and it usually takes only a few days. If a wound gets hot, red, painful and swollen, we think that inflammation is working [29]. Inflammation is a useful process, helping to stimulate the injured area as the rest of the immune system is stimulated to heal. In acute inflammation, innate immune cells form the first defense line of the immune system and regulate the activation of the adaptive immune response.

The important components of acute inflammatory response are leukocytes, cytokines and acute phase proteins (APPs) [30]. Acute inflammation is classified into two stages the one is vascular stage and another is cellular stage. So when the acute inflammation succeeds in eliminating the offender, the reaction is reduced, but if the response fails to eliminate the invaders, it can progress to a chronic stage [31].

2.1.2.2 Chronic Inflammation

Chronic inflammation is also known as a mild, long-term inflammation that takes several months to years. In general, the extent and effects of chronic inflammation vary with the cause of the injury and the body's ability to control damage and repair. Chronic inflammatory diseases are the leading cause of death all over the world. The WHO recognized chronic diseases as the greatest threat to human health. Worldwide, 3 out of 5 people die from chronic inflammatory diseases [32]. Most features of acute inflammation persist because the inflammation becomes chronic, which include dilation of blood vessels (vasodilation), increased blood flow, capillary permeability and the transfer of neutrophils to the affected tissue through the capillary wall. However, WBC's formation rapidly changes and macrophages, lymphocytes begin to replace short-lived neutrophils [33]. Differences between acute and chronic inflammation was given in Table 2.1.

 TABLE 2.1: Given Table Summarized Differences Between Acute And Chronic Inflammation

	Acute Inflammation	Chronic Inflammation
Causes Tissues injuries or harmful		Disease causing agents that cannot
	pathogen such as bacteria	be broken down by the body, includ-
	and viruses	ing certain types of viruses, foreign
		bodies that are present in the nature
		or promote an immune response.
Onset	Quickly and for short term	Slowly and for long term
Duration	Usually takes a few days	Take several months to years
Outcomes	Inflammation recovers or in-	Loss of tissue, thickening and dam-
	fection develops or become	aging of connective tissues.
	chronic	

Symptoms of chronic inflammation are the action of primary inflammatory cells for example macrophages, lymphocytes, and plasma cells into the tissue site, producing inflammatory cytokines, growth factors, enzymes, and therefore causing tissue damage and secondary repair which including fibrosis and granuloma formation etc. Here are some common sign and symptoms of chronic inflammation which are Physical pain, chronic fatigue, insomnia, depression, anxiety and mood disorders, weight gain or weight loss and also gastrointestinal complications such as constipation and diarrhea are the most common sign and symptoms of chronic inflammation [34]. Chronic inflammation is further classified into two types (i) Specific chronic inflammation (ii) Non-Specific chronic inflammation.

2.1.3 Prevalence And Risk Factor

With significant changes in disease trends and levels in different countries and regions, the burden of inflammatory disease is increasing globally. So estimated the prevalence and number of people affected globally with inflammatory diseases were given in Table 2.2. Understanding these geographical differences is essential to developing effective strategies for the prevention and treatment of inflammatory diseases [35]. In 1990, according to age wise, the prevalence rate increased from (79.5 to 83.3) per 10,000 populations and in 2021 it increased from (79.5 to 89.5). In 2021, there are 126 million cases of inflammatory diseases worldwide reported, so in 2021 the number of cases increased rapidly around globally and specifically 282 cases of inflammatory diseases reported in Pakistan according to WHO [36].

WHO Region	Prevalence		Number	
	1990	2021	1990	2021
African Region Region of the Ameri-	2.70% 12.20%	3.90% 21.50%	2 million 1.5 million	3 million 2.1 million
cas Eastern Mediter-	4.00%	12.70%	20 million	32 million
ranean Region European Region South-East Asia Bo-	22.0%	32.10%	9.5 million	12 million
gion	5.4070	5.5070	10.4 mmon	44 mmon
Western Pacific Re- gion	11.20%	15.50%	12.3 million	36 million
Total	83.3%	89.90%	102 million	126 million

 TABLE 2.2: Following Table Estimated the Prevalence And Number of People with Anti-Inflammatory Diseases

2.1.4 Treatment of Inflammation

Treatment of inflammatory diseases may include rest, exercise, medication, acupuncture and surgery to repair joint and tissue damages. The treatment plan will depend on a number of factors, including the type of disease, the patient's age and the medication the patient is taking, the patient's overall health and how the symptoms are severe [37]. The treatments of inflammatory diseases are described below.

2.1.4.1 Anti-inflammatory Drugs

There are several anti-inflammatory drugs or medicines which can reduce pain, swelling, prevent blood clot and inflammation. Anti-inflammatory agents, drugs and their mechanism of action were given in Table 2.3. These drugs can prevent or even slow down the inflammation. Anti-inflammatory drugs include; nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID's) such as naproxen, aspirin, ibuprofen, acetaminophen (Paracetamol) and Celebrex etc. Some of anti-inflammatory drugs are used to treat inflammatory bowel disease and cancer [38]. Non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are mostly prescribed pain medications. NSAIDs are a very effective medicine for pain and inflammation [39].

Additionally anti-inflammatory drug resulting, which having pain-relieving and antipyretic activities. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) produce their therapeutic activity through inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) and Inhibition of other novel enzymes which involve in inflammation [40]. Associated protein cyclooxygenase (COX-2) could also be responsible for the high levels of prostaglandins (PGs) in abundant inflammatory condition. Cyclooxygenase-2 excessive expression metabolizes accumulation of PGE2. Cyclooxygenase-2 is a selective inhibitor that can be a category of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) which directly targeted cyclooxygenase-2. So these enzymes are involved in the synthesis of significant biological mediators, which are involved in anti-inflammatory activity [41].

Agent	Drug	Action
Acetylsalicylic acid	Aspirin (Acetosal)	Aspirin has a number of dif-
		ferent several effects on body,
		which mostly reducing inflam-
		mation, pain and reducing
		fever.
Isobutylpheny lpro-	Ibuprofen (2-	Ibuprofen is a nonsteroidal
pionic acid	hydroxyibuprofen)	anti-inflammatory drug
		(NSAID). It works by in-
		hibiting body's production of
		certain natural substances that
		cause inflammation. This effect
		helps to reduce swelling, fever
		and pain.
Acetaminophen	Paracetamol	Paracetamol (acetaminophen)
	(Tylenol)	is typically considered a weak
		inhibitor to the synthesis of
		prostaglandins (PG). However,
		the effects of paracetamol are
		similar to those of selective
		(COX-2) inhibitors, paraceta-
		mol also reduces the number of
		PG and also involve in inflam-
		matory pathways.

TABLE 2.3: Following Table Shows Anti-Inflammatory Agents and Drugs and
Their Action

Celecoxib	Celebrex	(Etori-	A highly selective reversal
	coxib)		inhibitor of cyclooxygenase
			COX-2, silicoxyb inhibits
			the conversion of arachi-
			donic acid into prostaglandin
			precursor. Therefore, it is anti-
			inflammatory and analgesic
			activity.
Benzeneacetic acid	Diclofenac		It is the inflammatory, anal-
derivative	(Voltaren)		gesic and antipyretic activity.
			Like other NSAIDs, the mech-
			anism of action of is not fully
			recognized but involve in the in-
			hibition of COX-1 and COX-2
			enzymes.
Propionic-acid	Naproxen		Naproxen works by blocking
derivative	(Aflaxen)		COX-1 and COX-2 enzymes as
			inactive Coxib. This results in
			the inhibition of prostaglandin
			synthesis. Prostaglandins in-
			duce inflammation, acting as
			signaling molecules.

2.1.4.2 Acupuncture

Acupuncture is a type of treatment that involves inserting very thin needles into specific areas of the body, often staying away from the area of pain. Acupuncture can stimulate the brain to produce endorphins. Endorphins, formed naturally in the brain and they prevent pain sensations and reduce inflammation [42].

2.1.4.3 Surgery

Surgery is another treatment for inflammatory diseases. If joints and tissues are severely damaged by inflammation so it is necessary to remove infected or damage tissue or part through surgery. Most common procedure for surgery are; arthroscopy, osteotomy, replacement of joints and synovectomy etc [43].

2.2 Medicinal Plants

Nature is always a golden representation to show the salient features of coexistence. Plants and animals containing natural products which are specifically used for the treatment of various human diseases [44]. Medicinal plants are currently in demand and their acceptance is slowly increasing. Medicinal plants are those plants which having healing properties and having useful medicinal effects on the human or animal body and these plants also called medicinal herbs [45]. The word medicinal plant refers to a variety of different plants which having Medicinal properties. These plants are a great source of Compounds that can be used to synthesize drugs [46]. Different parts of medicinal plants are used for the development of drugs such as leaf, seeds, root, flower or even whole plant. Mostly medicinal plants contain bioactive compounds which have directly or indirectly therapeutic effects and are used as medicinal agents. So these plants are globally used as complementary or alternative medicine [47].

Generally, medicinal plants have been used since earliest times. It can be said that before the history of medicinal plants, the ancient people used these plants for many purposes such as fuel, clothing, shelter and food [48]. Given the fact that, at that time, it was not enough Information on the causes of the disease, how to use plants, how to use these plants for treatment of various diseases and how to use them for medicinal purposes [49]. But now a day's people generally use medicinal plants and spices because these plants contain a lot of bio-active compounds and essential oils which is beneficial for human health. So these plants and spices contain special compounds which prevent various diseases. Plant components also having characteristics and ability to prevent the development of certain diseases [50]. Toxic and negative effects of conventional and allopathic medicines have also been a significant factor sudden increase its demand in population as well as the development of herbal medicines decreases the use of chemical drugs [51]. On the other hand, the distribution of medicinal plants worldwide is not same and medicinal herbs are mostly collected from wildlife populations [52]. Therefore, in recent decades, demand for wildlife resources has increased from 8 to15% per year in Europe, North America and Asia [53]. Medicinal plants have a promising future as long as they exist. Nearly half a million plants worldwide, most of them not yet studied in medical practice, So current and future studies on medicinal plants maybe effective for the treatment of diseases [54].

2.3 Trigonella foenum graceum

Trigonella foenum graceum (fenugreek) is an annual plant within the family Fabace. Fenugreek is an aromatic herbaceous plant and is a very significant spice and is commonly used as a traditional food and medicine [55]. Fenugreek is one of the world's oldest cultivated spice crops. It has grown for its medicinal purposes. The dried seeds of these plants are extremely used in food and beverages as a flavor and supplement. It is grown all over the world as a dry crop. Its seeds and leaves are common ingredients in transportation from the Indian subcontinent and are mostly used in traditional medicine [56]. The Trigonella foenum-graecum edible part of the leaves contains moisture (86.1%), carbohydrates (6%), protein (4.4%), minerals (1.5%), fiber (1.1%) and fat (0.9%). Hence these plants are good source of proteins, fats, carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins for example vitamin A, B1 and C [57].

The seed and leaves of this plant mostly are used for the treatment of many other diseases in several traditional systems together with Ayurvedic medicine. In Ayurvedic system, individually fenugreek seeds and leaves are used to prepare powder or extract for medicinal purposes. Trigonella foenum-graecum cold water extract, is usually used against respiratory infections (pneumonia & bronchitis) and subsequently it nourishes the body during illness, this herb is specifically used to reduce temperature, pain and inflammation [58]. This plant has been shown to possess, hypolipidemic and hypoglycaemic activities. The plant's fenugreek is known for its ability to maintain cholesterol levels, as well as its ability to maintain glucose levels. Trigonella foenum-graecum pharmacological properties as well as antiviral, antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory activity have been reported [59]. The pharmacological and biological actions of fenugreek are recognized due to the diversity of its constituents as well as secondary metabolites which is derived additionally called phytochemicals. T. foenum-graecum plant and seeds were given in Figure 2.1.

Most important phytochemicals present in fenugreek seeds are carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, alkaloids, flavonoids, fiber and steroidal saponins, etc., which can be classified as volatile and non-volatile ingredients [60]. Hydroxyisoleucine, alkaloid, flavonoid and phytic acid are the derivatives of T. foenum-graecum. The alkaloid and flavonoid content of fenugreek seeds might be responsible for the inflammatory effects [61]. Hydroxyisoleucine could be a unique organic compound isolated from fenugreek seeds. Phytic acid is abundant in nature (especially in plants). It accounts for 1 to 5% weight of edible cereals, legumes, nuts, oil seeds and these are also derived from fenugreek [62].

2.3.1 Classification of T. foenum-graecum

Fenugreek belongs to the Fabaceae (Leguminosae) family, subfamily Papilionaceae and genus *Trigonella*, is a versatile and commercially significant spice crop grown for its seeds, shoots and fresh leaves. According to Hutchinson, the genus *Trigonella*, along with five other genera such as Factorovekya, Medicago, Parachetus, Melilotus and Trifolium of the family Fabaceae. Hundred species of *Trigonella* have been reported [63]. Taxonomic hierarchy of *Trigonella foenum* was given in Table 2.4.



FIGURE 2.1: Given Figure Represents T. foenum-graecum Plant And Seeds.

These are the native plant of the Indian subcontinent and the Eastern Mediterranean region. *T. foenum-graecum* edible parts of the leaves contain proteins, fats, carbohydrate, vitamins and minerals. Cultivation of this crop is limited to those areas with moderate or low rainfall and cold growing season without extreme temperature [64]. Fenugreek crop mostly grown in Pakistan, India, Turkey, Egypt, United Kingdom, Canada and the United States [65].

Serial No	Domains	Eukarya
1	Kingdom	Plantae
2	Sub Kingdom	Viridiplantae
3	Super Division	Embryophyta
4	Division	Tracheophyta
5	Sub Division	Spermatophytina
6	Class	Magnoliopsida
7	Super Order	Rosanae
8	Order	Fabales
9	Family	Fabaceae
10	Genus	Trigonella L
11	Species	Trigonella foenum
		graceum L

TABLE 2.4: Following Table Shows Taxonomic Hierarchy of Trigonella foenumgraceum

2.3.2 Medicinal Uses of Trigonella foenum graceum

There are several medicinal uses of T. foenum-graceum, which play a significant role to cure inflammatory diseases and various other diseases. T. foenum-graceum seeds are used in traditional medicine as an antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and antidiabetic. Galactagogue as actually effective against anorexia [66]. The seed and leaves of this plant mostly are used for the treatment of many other diseases in several traditional systems together with Ayurvedic medicine. In Ayurvedic system, individually fenugreek seeds and leaves are used to prepare powder or extract for medicinal purposes [67]. Many of the physical health benefits of fenugreek seeds have been experimentally validated in recent decades in animal studies as well as in human trials. Fenugreek seeds were found to possess anti-inflammatory activities. Multiple pharmacological effects of *Trigonella* plants were given in Figure 2.2. The inflammatory action of fenugreek is due to the inhibition of cyclooxygenase proteins (COX-1 and COX-2) and other novel enzymes [68]. Studies of various infectious diseases and laboratory research have revealed the biological functions of fenugreek. In recent times, medicinal compounds derived from plants have been widely used and have been recommended by doctors for their use in a number of diseases due to their minimal side effects and numerous positive effects on human health. A large number of studies have returned positive results, indicating the effectiveness of fenugreek seed as an active food that can be beneficial to health and disease. Fenugreek is known for its numerous pharmaceutical properties such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antidiabetic, anticancer, antiulcer digestive stimulant, gastro-protection and cardio-protection [69]. The seeds of Trigonella foenum *graceum* were given orally to experimental animals, so it producing a hypoglycemic effect and lowering blood glucose levels. These studies suggest that *Trigonella* can be used as a food supplement to regulate glucose level in the blood [70]. There are various anti-inflammatory agents known to decrease the inflammatory response, such as corticosteroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Identifying natural, plant-based and non-toxic anti-inflammatory drugs is essential for the treatment of various inflammatory diseases [71].


FIGURE 2.2: Given Figure Indicates Multiple Pharmacological Effects of T.foenum-graceum.

2.3.3 Bioactive Compounds In *Trigonella foenum graceum* As Inhibitors

Additionally many essential nutrients in plants contain a variety of biologically active non-nutrient groups. "Bioactive compounds" have been described as compounds that cause a specific biological reaction in animal and humans. Bioactive compounds of fenugreek which act as inhibitors are discussed below.

2.3.3.1 Steroid Saponins

Fenugreek plant mostly contains phytoconstituents such as steroid saponins, diosgenin and sapogenins. Steroidal saponins structure consist of 6-C ring with 2 to 3 side chains which having methyl or hydroxyl group. Fenugreek seeds do not contain free sapogenin but are found as a complex glycoside. This is a precursor to the synthesis of progesterone that was earlier used in combined oral contraceptives. It has the property of lowering serum cholesterol levels [72].

2.3.3.2 Poly Phenol

Poly Phenol is category of chemical compounds which is mainly derived from plants. The result in recent reports concluded that fenugreek seeds consist of five different types of flavonoids which are tricin, vitexin, naringenin and quercetin [73]. These poly-phenolic compounds show strong anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anticancer and antidiabetic activities and containing many other significant properties. The chemical structure of polyphenols is classified based on the number of phenol rings [74].

2.3.3.3 Alkaloids

Trigonelline is a methyl betaine derivative of necotinic acid which is present in fenugreek seeds, is an important alkaloid. These are a large group of naturally occurring organic compounds which having nitrogen atoms. It is mildly effective and beneficial in the treatment of diabetes and central nervous system disease and also exhibits antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory and memory-enhancing activities [75].

2.3.3.4 Flavonoids

Flavonoid compounds are plant-derived products and are found in many parts of plants and they are large family of polyphenolic compounds. Inflammatory functions of flavonoids in vitro or in cellular models include synthesis inhibition and stopping the activity of different pro-inflammatory mediators for example; cytokines, adhesion molecules and eicosanoids. The significant role of flavonoids is to inhibit the key enzymes and transcription factors which are involve in inflammation [76].

2.3.3.5 4-Hydroxyisoleucin

4-Hydroxyisoleucin is most widely found free amino acid in fenugreek seeds. It shows analgesic activities and also having a significant role in glucose uptake. It is found in two isomeric forms, the formation of large isomer (2S, 3R and 4S) which gives 90% of in the fenugreek seeds. While minor isomers have [2R, 3R, 4S] formations, that having hypoglycemia and analgesic properties [77].

2.3.3.6 Phytic Acid

Phytic acid is abundant in nature (especially in plants). It accounts for 1 to 5% weight of edible cereals, legumes, nuts, oil seeds and these are also derived from fenugreek seeds. Phytic acid (PA) is a naturally occurring ingredient that has been shown to protect against Parkinson's disease (PD). Inflammation in the central nervous system (CNS) is strongly associated with neuronal death in Parkinson's disease. So these all are the bioactive compounds which are present in *Trigonella foenum greacum* are used as inhibitors [78].

2.3.3.7 Quercetin

Quercetin is a plant flavonol of the flavonoid group of polyphenols. It is found in many plants and foods. The flavonoid quercetin was effectively isolated from the leaves of *Trigonella foenum greacum* and its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity were studied. Therefore, after a physicochemical analysis of fenugreek leaves, quercetin found in the aerial part of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*. Quercetin is the most abundant dietary flavonoid derived from plants. This bioactive compound has strong ability to inhibit those proteins which play a vital role in infections.

So this bioactive compound has antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects that reduce inflammation, kill cancer cells, control blood sugar and can help to prevent cardiovascular disease.

2.3.3.8 Trigonelline

Trigonelline is an alkaloid with chemical formula C7H7NO2. Trigonelline is a chemical compound derived from fenugreek seed. These chemical compounds prevent inflammation and protects cells in diabetes by inhibiting fetal growth during pregnancy with leptin and insulin.

2.3.3.9 Tricin

Tricin is a chemical compound. It is an O-methylated flavone, a type of flavonoid. Tricin is bioactive metabolites which is isolated from fenugreek seed. Tricin has been shown to interfere with TLR4 activation by inhibiting proteins to stimulate inflammatory cascades.

2.3.3.10 Naringenin

Naringenin is a flavonoid belonging to flavones subclass. They are isolated from fenugreek as well as from plant *Trigonella foenum greacum*. Naringenin can play a protective role by minimizing mucous production during airway inflammation by regulating the production of ROs and inhibiting NF-kB activity.

2.3.3.11 Flavonol

Most important phytochemical present in fenugreek seeds is flavonol. Flavonols are polyphenols belonging to the class of flavonoids. Flavonol is the derivatives of T. *foenum-graecum*. There are several medicinal uses of T. *foenum-graceum*, which play a significant role to cure inflammatory diseases and various other diseases. The flavonol content of fenugreek seeds might be responsible for the inflammatory effects. Flavonol use their anti-inflammatory activities by decreasing the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the down-regulation of numerous inflammatory mediators through inhibition of signaling pathways.

2.4 Targeted Proteins

There are 4 different types of proteins which are used as targeted proteins for molecular docking process such as Cox-2, mPGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase.

2.4.1 Cyclooxygenases

Cyclooxygenase enzyme also called Prostaglandins (PTGS), which is responsible for the formation of prostanoids, together with arachidonic acid from prostaglandins such as Thromboxane and Prostaglandin. The mechanism when cyclooxygenase form prostanoids, together with arachidonic acid from prostaglandins was given in Figure 2.3. There are 2-isoforms of cyclooxygenase that are known as cyclooxygenase-1 and Cyclooxygenase-2. Cyclooxygenase-1 functions as a housekeeping isoform of Cyclooxygenases and is mainly expressed to serve functions resembling controlling of urinary organ blood flow, stomach protection against ulcers and preparation of prostaglandin E-2 [79].

On the other hand Cyclooxygenase- 2 is associated in an inducible initial response which is activated in response to genes and numerous extracellular or intracellular physiological stimuli. Cyclooxygenase-2 is an important mediator of the inflammatory pathway. Associated protein cyclooxygenase (COX-2) could also be responsible for the high levels of PGs in abundant inflammatory condition. Cyclooxygenase-2 excessive expression metabolizes accumulation of PGE2 [80]. Cyclooxygenase-2 is a selective inhibitor that can be a category of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) which directly targeted cyclooxygenase-2. The molecules that targeted the flow of prostaglandin synthesis-2 regulate many signaling pathways and control apoptotic proteins and thus contribute to numerous physiological processes as well as proliferation, survival, mutation and metastasis. And therefore the excessive-expression and up-regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 are mostly connected with inflammation, unchecked cell division and cell death. Fenugreek seeds were found to possess anti-inflammatory activities. The inflammatory action of fenugreek is due to the inhibition of cyclooxygenase proteins (COX-1 and



FIGURE 2.3: Mechanism when Cyclooxygenase form Prostanoids, together with Arachidonic Acid from Prostaglandins

COX-2) and lipid peroxidation due to the inhibition of flavonoids-C-glycosides, triglycerides and fatty acids present inside the seeds [81].

2.4.2 Microsomal PGES-2

Microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-2 (mPGES-2) is an eicosanoid lipid mediator that significantly contributes to the pathogenesis of many inflammatory diseases [82]. PGES-2 is formed when phospholipase secreted arachidonic acid from the plasma membrane and contains two cyclooxygenases such as cyclooxygenase-1 and cyclooxygenase-2 and specific isomers, like mPGES-1 and mPGES-2 [83]. The most commonly used nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), such as ibuprofen, silicoxyb and acetaminophen (Paracetamol) have been shown to block inflammation, pain, and fever by blocking cyclooxygenase activity and thus reducing the generation of PGE-2 in injured tissues and proven useful in the treatment of Nervous system [84]. Consequently, the flow pathway elements of cyclooxygenase enzymes in the biosynthetic reaction of PGE-2, terminal synthesis and receptors are considered more specific targets for the treatment of pain and inflammation. Out of three-terminal synthesis, mPGES-1 frequently combines functionally with COX-2 and is the primary source of inflammatory prostaglandin E- 2 synthesis. Inhibition of mPGES-1 has shown similar effects with NSAIDs in various experimental animal models. However, such an approach would actually protect the analgesic efficacy expected from NSAIDs, which needs further verification. Prostaglandin E synthase-2 combines with four specific G proteins to act on receptor subtypes, called EP1-4 receptor. Targeting four receptors which are also being followed in the development of analgesics as an alternative approach to CoX-2 inhibitors. Targeting mPGES-1 and possibly four PGE-2 receptors could serve as promising strategies for the evolution of anti-inflammatory drugs for the next generation [86].

2.4.3 Human Neutrophil Elastase (HNE)

Human neutrophil elastase (HNE) is a protease enzyme that belongs to the chemo trypsin like family of serine proteins. These enzymes play a vital part in the pathogenesis of many infections, from chronic inflammatory diseases to infectious diseases [87]. Neutrophils survive quickly in the blood of healthy people (round about 8 hours), but after inflammation they become active and their longevity can increase up to 5 days. When inflammatory stimuli develop in peripheral tissues, neutrophils are quickly recruited to the anatomical location of inflammation. Neutrophil degranulation is responsible for the release of various inflammatory mediators for example neutrophil elastase, catechin G and proteinase-3.

2.4.4 Tyrosinase

For melanin biosynthesis tyrosinase is a key enzyme which plays a significant role in skin inflammation. It was first identified by French chemist Gabriel Bertrand. Several acute or chronic inflammatory skin reactions can produce a change in skin color [88]. Melanosome are unique organs to be found in the cytoplasm of melanocytes, containing important enzymes that control the pigmentation of skin color, such as tyrosinase enzymes and its type's TYRP-1 and TYRP-2.

2.5 Molecular Docking

Molecular Docking is technique used to estimate the strength of a bond between a ligand and a target protein through a special scoring function and to determine the correct structure of the ligand within the target binding site. The 3D structure of the target proteins and the ligands is taken as the input for docking. It represents a frequently used approach in structure-based drug design since it requires a 3D structure of a target protein. It can be used to determine the correct structure of the ligand within the target binding site, and to estimate the strength of the binding between the ligand and the target proteins through a specific scoring function [89]. It also helps in the recognition of new small molecular compounds, revealing the essential properties, such as high interaction between binding with target protein having reasonable absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion, which help in the selection of lead for the target [90]. So the docking process includes compounds which are discussed below.

- The docking process requires a 3D structure of protein which is downloaded from protein data bank (PDB).
- Minimum size of molecules or compounds or virtual compounds that contain a database is required.
- A computational framework is also needed to perform the docking and find the scoring process.

Protein and ligand docking is one of the key areas of molecular docking, which is obtain high popularity and appreciation due to its role in structure-based drug designing. Molecular dynamics, distance geometry method and genetics algorithm etc are most widely used algorithm in molecular docking and the most frequent software used for molecular docking were Auto Dock vina, Auto Dock, CB Dock and ICM etc.

Chapter 3

Methodology



FIGURE 3.1: Flow Chart of Methodology (A).



FIGURE 3.2: Flow Chart of Methodology (B).

3.1 Disease Selection

Inflammation is a physiological condition in which a part of the body becomes red, swollen and painful, particularly in response to an injury or infection which causes immune dysfunction, tissue damages etc. Cyclooxygenases and other novel enzymes are involved in inflammatory process, which play a key role in inhibiting inflammatory pathways. A significant progress has been made in understanding the role of these enzymes in various biological processes. Inflammatory diseases are the most important infectious disease worldwide [91].

3.2 Target Proteins Selection

It is possible to manage inflammatory diseases, the key factor involved, which are enzyme cyclooxygenases and other novel enzymes. So these enzymes are involved in inflammatory pathways which play a vital role to inhibit inflammation. The increasing of inflammation can cause certain diseases such as cancer, inflammatory bowel disease and chronic peptic ulcer etc [92]. Selection of primary sequence (FASTA format) of target proteins (Cox-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase) from UniProt database were taken with accession number Q05769, Q9N0A4, P08246, P14679 and 604, 377, 267, 529 residues length, which showed that 3D structure of Cyclooxygenas-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase is available in PDB (Protein Data Bank) [93].

3.3 Primary Sequence Retrieval

Primary sequence of target proteins (Cox-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase) Were taken in FASTA format from protein sequence database UniProt under accession number P35354, Q9H7Z7, P08246, P14679 and 604, 377, 267, 529 residues length [94].

3.4 Analysis of Physiochemical Properties

Physicochemical properties play a significant role in determining the function of proteins. ProtParam was used to predict these properties of Cyclooxygenas-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase enzymes [95]. The number of positively charged residue (Arg+ Lys) and negative charged residue (Asp+ Glu), theoretical pI, molecular weight, Ext.coefficient (Cys included), Ext.coefficient (Cys not included),

instability index, aliphatic index and grand average of hydropath-city were computed through ProtParam [96].

3.5 Functional Domain Identification of Targeted Proteins

Interpro is an online database which was used to identify the functional domains of Cox-2, HNE tyrosinase and mPGES-2 [97]. Conserved domains are involved in sequence/structure/relationship.

3.6 3D Structure Predictions of Protein

I-TASSER Iterative Threading ASSEmbly Refinement is an online protein 3D structure and function prediction server. This online server firstly identifies the structural model of the PDB through multiple threading approaches, including full-length atomic models that were constructed using Iterative threading fragment assembly simulations.

This server predicts regions of secondary structure from the protein sequence such as alpha helix, beta sheet and coil from the amino acid sequence [98]. The I-TASSER server also predict the 3D structure of proteins and these server gives us five 3D structure of proteins so on the basis of c-score we can select the best 3D structure of the protein.

3.7 Retrieval of Chemical Structure of Ligands

PubChem is the world's largest repository of easily accessible chemical information database. So the chemical compounds that were used as ligand were selected from PubChem database [99]. The selected ligands were refined through Chem Draw Ultra version 12.0.2 software.

3.8 Energy Minimization of Ligands

Energy minimization of ligands were carried out by chem pro software (chem 3D v 12.0.2). This are a mandatory step in the preparation of ligands for docking because unstable ligands will show unreliable vina scores in docking results.

3.9 Bioactivity Analysis of Ligands and Toxicity Measurement

Chemical compounds that are used as ligand were selected from PubChem database. Selected compounds follow the Lipinski rule of five and those are likely to be used as active drug in humans [100]. The potential success of a compound depends on its ADMET properties. PkCSM is an online tool that helps to find the ADMET properties of the compounds. The rules are as follow:

- The logP value of most "drug-like" molecules should be limited to 5.
- Molecular weight should be under 500.
- Maximum number of H-bond acceptor should be 10.
- Maximum number of H-bond donor should be 5.

3.10 Molecular Docking of Targeted Proteins

The purpose of molecular docking is to find the best conformational interaction between target proteins and compounds. The two essential requirements for docking are the target protein and the candidate ligand. Cyclooxygenas-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase is used as the target protein and selected ligands are alkaloid, flavonoid, phytic acid, 4-hydroxyisoleucin, sapogenin, quercetin, trigonelline, tricin, naringenin and flavonol. CB dock is an online docking server which automatically identifies binding sites and is used to perform docking. It can simplify docking procedures and improve accuracy by predicting target protein binding sites [101].

3.10.1 Process of Molecular Docking

The first step in performing the docking process is to create a ligand and target protein files. First, the target protein file is compiled following a few steps. PDB file of target proteins (Cox-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase) were given to CB dock as input file. After these amendments target protein file was saved in pdbqt format. Compilation of protein file the ligands file has been prepared by following the same procedure and saved in pdbqt format in same directory. Then Setting up Grid box around protein ligand structure is performed [102]. Docking files were created for chosen data set after completion of this step and results were saved in pdbqt format.

3.10.2 Active Site Identification

The ligand shows maximum or highest interaction with the protein where the target protein has their active site. Amino acids are highly involved in the formation of complex of ligand to protein. Protein binding pockets were identified by CASTp [103].

3.11 Protein Ligand Interaction

The interaction of the active pockets of the ligand and the protein are calculated for the interpretation of docking results. Two types of interactions are studied; hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic bonding. Using Ligplot plus (version v.1.4.5) the protein ligand interaction were studied. This software automatically generates schematic diagrams of the protein-ligand interaction of the given ligands in the PDB file [104].

3.12 Ligand ADME Properties

Generally for more successful drug discovery lead needs to be more like drug. The compounds were further screened on the basis of drug score, drug-likeness and toxicity. PkCSM was used for ADMET studies, these server having ADMET properties of a drug [105].

3.13 Lead Compound Identification

After a detailed analysis of protein and ligand interactions, docking scores and toxicity studies, the most active inhibitor was identified. The selected compound was our lead compound.

3.14 Inflammatory Drug Identification

The inflammatory drug identification refers to the identification of drugs that were used for inflammatory diseases, treatment purpose. KEGG and Drug Bank databases were used for drug identification because it helps to analyze the disease in details with its pathway and drugs [106].

3.15 Inflammatory Drug Selection

The identified drugs must be filtered in order to select the most effective drug.

This is done through a detailed study of identified drugs and most effective drug is identified setting parameters; physiochemical properties, effective ADMET properties, effective mechanism of action and minimal side effects. Physical Chemical Properties, ADMET Properties and mechanisms of action with drug side effects were collected from PubChem, Drug Bank, PKCSM, and KEGG databases, respectively [107].

3.16 Anti-Inflammatory Drug Docking

The identified drug then docked with Cox-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase protein to identify the inhibition efficiency. CB dock (Cavity-detection guided Blind Docking) is an online docking server which was used to perform docking. It can simplify docking procedures and improve accuracy by predicting target protein binding sites [108].

3.17 NSAID Drug-Proposed Anti-inflammatory Agent Comparison

The comparison between non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug and the proposed anti-inflammatory agents was done through comparing docking values, physiochemical properties and ADMET properties [109].

Chapter 4

Results and Discussions

4.1 Structure Modeling

4.1.1 Primary Sequence Retrieval

Primary sequence of target proteins (Cox-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase) were taken in FASTA format from UniProt database (http://www.uniprot.org) under accession number P35354, Q9H7Z7, P08246, P14679 and 604, 377, 267, 529 residues length.

>sp—P35354—PGH2- HUMAN Prostaglandin G/H synthase 2 OS=Homo sapiens OX=9606 GN=PTGS2 PE=1 SV=2

MLARALLLCAVLALSHTANPCCSHPCQNRGVCMSVGFDQYKCDCTRTGFY GENCSTPEFLTRIKLFLKPTPNTVHYILTHFKGFWNVVNNIPFLRNAIMSYL TSRSHLIDSPPTYNADYGYKSWEAFSNLSYYTRALPPVPDDCPTPLGVKGK KQLPDSNEIVEKLLLRRKFIPDPQGSNMMFAFFAQHFTHQFFKTDHKRGPA FTNGLGHGVDLNHIYGETLARQRKLRLFKDGKMKYQIIDGEMYPPTVKDT QAEMIYPPQVPEHLRFAVGQEVFGLVPGLMMYATIWLREHNRVCDVLKQE HPEWGDEQLFQTSRLILIGETIKIVIEDYVQHLSGYHFKLKFDPELLFNKQ FQYQNRIAAEFNTLYHWHPLLPDTFQIHDQKYNYQQFIYNNSILLEHGIT QFVESFTRQIAGRVAGGRNVPPAVQKVSQASIDQSRQMKYQSFNEYRKR FMLKPYESFEELTGEKEMSAELEALYGDIDAVELYPALLVEKPRPDAIFG ETMVEVGAPFSLKGLMGNVICSPAYWKPSTFGGEVGFQIINTASIQSLIC NNVKGCPFTSFSVPDPELIKTVTINASSSRSGLDDINPTVLLKERSTEL.

>sp—Q9H7Z7—PGES2- HUMAN Prostaglandin E synthase 2 OS=Homo sapiens OX=9606 GN=PTGES2 PE=1 SV=1

MDPAARVVRALWPGGCALAWRLGGRPQPLLPTQSRAGFAGAAGGPSPVA AARKGSPRLLGAAALALGGALGLYHTARWHLRAQDLHAERSAAQLSLSSR LQLTLYQYKTCPFCSKVRAFLDFHALPYQVVEVNPVRRAEIKFSSYRKVPI LVAQEGESSQQLNDSSVIISALKTYLVSGQPLEEIITYYPAMKAVNEQGKEVT EFGNKYWLMLNEKEAQQVYGGKEARTEEMKWRQWADDWLVHLISPNVY RTPTEALASFDYIVREGKFGAVEGAVAKYMGAAAMYLISKRLKSRHRLQDN VREDLYEAADKWVAAVGKDRPFMGGQKPNLADLAVYGVLRVMEGLDAFD DLMQHTHIQ.

>sp—P08246—ELNE- HUMAN Neutrophil elastase OS=Homo sapiens OX=9606 GN=ELANE PE=1 SV=1

MTLGRRLACLFLACVLPALLLGGTALASEIVGGRRARPHAWPFMVSLQLRGG HFCGATLIAPNFVMSAAHCVANVNVRAVRVVLGAHNLSRREPTRQVFAVQRI FENGYDPVNLLNDIVILQLNGSATINANVQVAQLPAQGRRLGNGVQCLAMGW GLLGRNRGIASVLQELNVTVVTSLCRRSNVCTLVRGRQAGVCFGDSGSPLVC NGLIHGIASFVRGGCASGLYPDAFAPVAQFVNWIDSIIQRSEDNPCPHPRDPD PASRTH. >sp—P14679—TYRO- HUMAN Tyrosinase OS=Homo sapiens OX=9606 GN=TYR PE=1 SV=3

MLLAVLYCLLWSFQTSAGHFPRACVSSKNLMEKECCPPWSGDRSPCGQLSGR GSCQNILLSNAPLGPQFPFTGVDDRESWPSVFYNRTCQCSGNFMGFNCGNCK FGFWGPNCTERRLLVRRNIFDLSAPEKDKFFAYLTLAKHTISSDYVIPIGTYGQ MKNGSTPMFNDINIYDLFVWMHYYVSMDALLGGSEIWRDIDFAHEAPAFLPW HRLFLLRWEQEIQKLTGDENFTIPYWDWRDAEKCDICTDEYMGGQHPTNPNL LSPASFFSSWQIVCSRLEEYNSHQSLCNGTPEGPLRRNPGNHDKSRTPRLPSSAD VEFCLSLTQYESGSMDKAANFSFRNTLEGFASPLTGIADASQSSMHNALHIYMN GTMSQVQGSANDPIFLLHHAFVDSIFEQWLRRHRPLQEVYPEANAPIGHNRES YMVPFIPLYRNGDFFISSKDLGYDYSYLQDSDPDSFQDYIKSYLEQASRIWSWL LGAAMVGAVLTALLAGLVSLLCRHKRKQLPEEKQPLLMEKEDYHSLQSHL.

Cyclooxygenas-2, mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase were selected as the target proteins and alkaloid, flavonoid, phytic acid, 4-hydroxyisoleucin, sapogenin, quercetin, trigonelline, tricin, naringenin and flavonol were selected as a ligands for the current study.

4.1.2 Physiochemical Characterization of Cyclooxygenas-2, mPGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase

ProtParam is an online tool which allows the calculation of different physical and chemical parameters for a given protein stored in Swiss-Prot or TrEMBL or for protein sequence entered by the user. The various parameters computed by ProtParam are molecular weight, theoretical PI, amino acid composition (positive and negative charge), atomic compound, extinction coefficient, estimated half-life, instability index, aliphatic index, and grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY). The Calculated pI greater than 7 represents the basic nature of the protein while less than 7 represents the acidity of the protein. Light absorption is represented by extinction coefficient. Instability index which is less than 40 indicates the stability of the protein while greater than 40 indicates the instability of protein [110]. The aliphatic index represents the aliphatic content of a protein. The high value of the aliphatic index indicates the thermo stability of the protein. Molecular weight contains both positive and negative charged residues of protein. At 280nm the ranging extinction coefficient of 73980, 67965, 20105 and 112270 indicates Tyr and Trp high concentration [111]. Low GRAVY shows better interaction with water molecules. Lowest gravity shows better interaction with water molecules. The Physiochemical properties of Cyclooxygenase-2, Microsomal Prostaglandin E synthase 2, Human Neutrophil Elastase and Tyrosinase were shown in Table 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 respectively. So all these parameter which were selected for present study were taken according to earlier research work [112].

TABLE 4.1: Physiochemical Properties of Cyclooxygenase-2 (Cox-2).

$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{W}$	PI	\mathbf{NR}	\mathbf{PR}	
68996.12	7.02	62	61	
Ext.Co1	Ext.Co2	Instability index	Aliphatic index	GRAVY
73980	73230	37.67	80.70	-0.287

TABLE 4.2: Physiochemical Properties of Microsomal Prostaglandin E Synthase-2 (mPGES-2).

$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{W}$	PI	\mathbf{NR}	\mathbf{PR}	
39964.88	9.23	36	44	
Ext.Co1	Ext.Co2	Instability index	Aliphatic index	GRAVY
67965	67840	44.87	87.08	-0.236

TABLE 4.3: Physiochemical Properties of Human Neutrophil Elastase (HNE).

$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{W}$	PI	\mathbf{NR}	PR	
28518.06	9.71	13	24	

Ext.Co1	Ext.Co2	Instability index	Aliphatic index	GRAVY
20105	19480	47.88	102.25	0.237
	TABLE 4.4	LE 4.4: Physiochemical Properties of Tyr		
MW	PI	\mathbf{NR}	\mathbf{PR}	
60393.27	5.71	57	44	
Ext.Co1	Ext.Co2	Instability index	Aliphatic index	GRAVY
112270	111270	56.76	71.76	-0.356

MW indicate (Molecular weight), pI indicate (Theoretical pI), NR indicate total number of negatively charged residues (Asp + Glu), PR indicate total number of positively charged residues (Arg + Lys), ExtCo1 indicates (Ext. coefficient) all Cys residues are reduced, ExtCo2 indicates (Ext. coefficient) all Cys residues are reduced, II indicate (instability index), AI indicate (Aliphatic index) and GRAVY indicate (Grand average of hydropathicity).

4.1.3 3D Structure Predictions of Protein

I-TASSER Iterative Threading ASSEmbly Refinement is an online protein 3D structure and function prediction server. This online server firstly identifies the structural model of the PDB through multiple threading approaches, including full-length atomic models that are constructed using Iterative threading fragment assembly simulations.

Although the server has been widely used for various biological and biomedical investigations, this server predicts regions of secondary structure from the protein sequence such as alpha helix, beta sheet and coil from the amino acid sequence [113]. These online server also predict the 3D structure of proteins and gives us

five 3D structure of proteins, so on the basis of c-score we can select the best 3D structure of the protein.

4.1.4 Functional Domain Identification of Proteins

The functional domain is the active part of a protein that is involved in the interaction of proteins with other substances. Proteins can contain more than one active domain that performs different functions. Functional domains of proteins with residues length were shown in Figure 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 respectively.

Cyclooxygenase contain two functional domains by the name of Epidermal Growth Factor like domain and An-peroxidase domain belong to Cox-2 family first one starting from residue number 21 and ends at 53 and another one starting from residue 201and ends at 560.

Cyclooxygenase acts as a homodimer in which each subunits of the dimer consists of epidermal growth factor domain and catalytic domain. In the catalytic domain, there are active sites of COX and peroxides on both sides of the heme synthetic group [114]. Other enzymes such as mPGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase also contain functional domains from which active site of proteins are easily identified. Microsomal Prostaglandin E synthase 2 contain one functional domain by name of Glutathione S- transferase, N-terminal domain belong to PGES-2 family starting from residue number 104 and ends at 172 [115].

Human Neutrophil Elastase contain one functional domain by name of Trypsin domain belong to Peptidase-S1A family starting from residue number 30 and ends at 242. Tyrosinase contain one functional domain by name of Central domain of tyrosinase belong to Copper protein family starting from residue number 170 and ends at 403. Functional domain identification of Cox-2, m PGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase were represented in Table 4.5.



FIGURE 4.1: Two Functional Domains of Cox-2 with Residues Length



FIGURE 4.2: One Functional Domain of Mpges-2 with Residues Length



FIGURE 4.3: One Functional Domain of HNE with Residues Length.



FIGURE 4.4: One Functional Domain of Tyrosinase with Residues Length.

S.No	Name	Domain	Start	End
1	Cyclooxygenase-2	Epidermal Growth Factor & An-peroxidase	21&201	53 & 560
2	Microsomal Prostaglandin E synthase 2	Glutathione S- transferase, N- terminal	104	172
3	Human Neu- trophil Elastase	Trypsin	30	242
4	Tyrosinase	Central domain of tyrosinase	170	403

TABLE 4.5: Functional Domain Identification of Cox-2, m PGES-2, HNE and
Tyrosinase

4.1.5 Template Selection

Once a list of possible templates is obtained using search methods, it is important to select one or more templates that are particularly suitable for molecular docking. There are several factors to consider when choosing a template. The simplest template selection rule is to choose the structure that matches to the modeled sequence. If possible, a template bound to the same or similar ligands as a model should mostly be used. The structures of the selected templates are taken from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) and were listed in the Table 4.6.

4.1.6 Structure of Proteins Refined for Docking

The final structure of Cox-2, m PGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase is refined which is used for docking purposes. All the refined 3D structure of proteins was shown in Figure 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8 respectively.

S.No	Templates	Resolution	PDB ID	Structure
1	Cyclooxygenase-2 (Prostaglandin Synthase- 2) complexed with selec- tive inhibitors, SC-558 In I222 space group.	2.80 Å	6COX	
2	Microsomal prostaglandin E synthase type-2.	2.60 Å	1Z9H	
3	Crystal structure of highly glycosylated hu- man leukocyte elastase in complex with a thiazo- lidinedione inhibitor.	2.70 Å	$6\mathrm{F5M}$	
4	Crystal structures of copper-depleted and copper-bound pro- tyrosinase.	2.05Å	3W6Q	

 TABLE 4.6:
 Selected PDB Templates Structures



FIGURE 4.5: Given Figure Represented 3d Structures of Cox-2



FIGURE 4.6: Given Figure Represented 3d Structures of mPGES-2



FIGURE 4.7: Given Figure Represented 3d Structures of HNE



FIGURE 4.8: Given Figure Represented 3d Structures of Tyrosinase

4.2 Ligand Selection

Protein data bank contains a large amount of protein ligand complex, especially for the protein target. Therefore, the selection of ligands is based on the best resolution of the structure, the chemical class of the co-crystal ligand bound to the protein structure and the best binding affinity. Conformational selection is a process in which ligand selectively binds to one of these conformers, strengthening it and increasing its population with respect to the total population of the protein is ultimately resulting in the final observed complex.

In concept of ligand-induced shifts the dynamics of protein conformation can be usefully exploited in drug design and drug discovery perspectives [116]. If we need to select a single protein-ligand complex for structural based drug designing, we need to look at the ligand present in the active site. The ligand should bind well to the receptor. Able to adjust the function of proteins (interact with potential residues) and can be able to used as a drug molecules or lead compound. So on the basis of these properties we can select best ligands. If we need to select a single protein-ligand complex for structural based drug designing, we need to look at the ligand present in the active site. The ligand should bind well to the receptor.

PubChem is a public repository for experimental data that identifies the biological activity of small molecules. The structure and other information of ligands were extracted from PubChem database [117]. Bioactive compounds of fenugreek which act as inhibitors were selected as a ligands for the present study and they were represented in Table 4.7.

The selected ligands were alkaloid, flavonoid, phytic acid, 4-hydroxyisoleucin, sapogenin, quercetin, trigonelline, tricin, naringenin and flavonol these selection is on the basis of lispinki rules of fives but there is few more ligands such as Sennoside A, Teprotide, Rutin, Procyanidins and Pectolinarin which can not follow lispinki rules of fives that,s why we excluded these ligands from current research work and these excluded ligands can not considered for further molecular docking analysis. The excluded ligands were shown in table 4.8.

S.No	Name	Molecular Formula	Molecular Weight	Structure
1	Alkaloid	C18H21NO4	315.4 g/mol	4 10 0 0 0
2	Flavonoid	C18H16O8	$360.3 \mathrm{g/mol}$	
3	Phytic acid	C6H18O24 P6	660.04 g/mol	
4	4-Hydroxyisoleucine	C6H13 NO3	147.17 g/mol	H.O.H
5	Sapogenin	C30H50O5	490.7 g/mol	
6	Quercetin	C15H10O7	302.23 g/mol	"• • • "• • • • "•
7	Trigonelline	C7H7NO2	137.14 g/mol	0-
8	Tricin	C17H14O7	330.29 g/mol	
9	Naringenin	C15H12O5	272.25 g/mol	H o C O H
10	Flavonol	C15H10O3	238.24 g/mol	H C C

TABLE 4.7: Following Table Represents Name, Molecular Formula, MolecularWeight and Structure of Ligands

S.No	Name	Molecular Formula	Molecular Weight	Structure
1	Sennoside A	C42H38O20	862.7 g/mol	
2	Teprotide	C53H76N14O12	1101.3 g/mol	
3	Rutin	C27H30O16	$610.5 \mathrm{~g/mol}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & $
4	Procyanidin	C30H26O13	594.5 g/mol	. defec. Starter
5	Pectolinarin	C29H34O15	622.6 g/mol	

TABLE 4.8: Following Table Represents Name, Molecular Formula, Molecular Weight and Structure of Excluded Ligands

4.3 Virtual Screening and Toxicity Prediction

PkCSM is an online tool used to find the absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicity of a drug [118]. In the present research study, the Lipinski rule has been used for filtration. Applicability of Lipinski rule on ligands was shown in Table 4.9. All ligands follow Lipinski rules of five but except few ligands such as Phytic acid, Sennoside A, Teprotide, Rutin, Procyanidins and Pectolinarin which can not follow lispinki rules of five that, s why we excluded these ligands from current research work and these excluded ligands can not considered for further research work. According to Lipinski rules;

- 1. The number of Hydrogen bond donor must be less than 5.
- 2. The maximum number of Hydrogen bond acceptors must be 10.
- 3. The log p value must be limited to 5.
- 4. The molecular weight must be less than 500.

So it means that those ligands which follow Lipinski rules they were considered for molecular docking analysis and those ligands which can not follow Lipinski rules were not considered. Lipinski Rules of Excluded Ligands were shown in Table 4.10.

S.No	Ligand	logP Value	Molecular Weight	H-Bond Acceptor	H-bond Donor
1	Alkaloid	1.7944	315.4 g/mol	5	1
2	Flavonoid	2.6026	360.3 g/mol	8	3
3	Phytic acid	-3.1326	660.04 g/mol	12	12
4	4-Hydroxyiso leucine	-0.5848	147.17 g/mol	3	3
5	Sapogenin	4.6027	$490.7~{\rm g/mol}$	5	4
6	Quercetin	1.988	302.23 g/mol	7	5
7	Trigonelline	-1.1254	137.14 g/mol	2	0
8	Tricin	2.594	330.29 g/mol	7	3
9	Naringenin	2.5099	272.25 g/mol	5	3
10	Flavonol	3.1656	238.24 g/mol	3	1

TABLE 4.9: Applicability of Lipinski Rule on Ligands

S.No	Ligand	logP Value	Molecular Weight	H-Bond Acceptor	H-bond Donor
1	Sennoside A	-1.09	$862 \mathrm{~g/mol}$	18	12
2	Teprotide	-1.658	1101.3 g/mol	12	10
3	Rutin	-1.6871	610.521 g/- mol	16	10
4	Procyanidin	2.7327	594.525 g/- mol	13	10
5	Pectolinarin	-0.7867	622.576 g/- mol	15	7

TABLE 4.10: Lipinski Rules of Excluded Ligands

4.3.1 Toxicity Prediction

PkCSM is an online tool which provides an integrated platform to rapidly evaluate pharmacokinetic and toxicity properties of a drugs. So this tool is used to find out the toxicity measurements of ligands against Cox-2, mPGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase which is the target proteins.

4.3.1.1 Alkaloids

Alkaloid is the selected ligand and has inhibitory effect against inflammation. As well the potential activity of the compound also depends on its toxicity. The calculated toxicity values for alkaloids are in acceptable range which increases its activity potential. The toxicity values of alkaloids were shown in Table 4.11.

4.3.1.2 Flavonoid

Flavonoids, a group of natural substances with variable phenolic structures. This bioactive substance which is derived from *Trigonella foenum-graecum* having ability to inhibit key proteins involved in inflammation. The toxicity values of flavonoids were shown in Table 4.12.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	-0.718 mg/Kg
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	2.806 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	0.313 mg/Kg
6	Hepatoxicity	Yes
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	$0.561 \log ug/L$
9	Minnow toxicity	$1.685 \log \mathrm{mM}$

TABLE 4.11: Toxicity Values Of Alkaloids

 TABLE 4.12: Toxicity Values of Flavonoids

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values
1	Max.tolerated dose (hu-	0.496 mg/Kg
	man)	
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	2.301 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	1.972 mg/Kg
6	Hepatoxicity	No
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	$0.313 \log ug/L$
9	Minnow toxicity	2.341 log mM

4.3.1.3 Phytic Acid

Phytic acid is a unique natural substance found in plant seeds. Phytic acid shows strong inhibitory effect against the spread of pathogenic bacteria. The toxicity values of Phytic acid were shown in Table 4.13.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	0.435 mg/Kg
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	2.482 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	$6.901 \mathrm{~mg/Kg}$
6	Hepatoxicity	No
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	$0.285 \log \mathrm{ug/L}$
9	Minnow toxicity	$23.675~\mathrm{log}~\mathrm{mM}$

 TABLE 4.13: Toxicity Values of Phytic Acid

4.3.1.4 4-Hydroxyisoleucine

4-Hydroxyisoleucine is a bioactive compound found in the seeds of *Trigonella* foenum-graecum (fenugreek), which has been used as part of traditional medicine and has shown tremendous effect against infectious diseases. The toxicity values of 4-Hydroxyisoleucine were shown in Table 4.14.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	1.277 mg/Kg
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	2.061 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	2.936 mg/Kg
6	Hepatoxicity	No
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	0.283 ug/L
9	Minnow toxicity	$3.272 \log \mathrm{mM}$

TABLE 4.14: Toxicity Values of 4-Hydroxyisoleucine

4.3.1.5 Sapogenin

Sapogenin are the aglycones, or non-saccharide, portions of the family of natural products known as saponins. Sapogenin have been reported to possess a wide range of anti-inflammatory activities. The toxicity values of Sapogenin were shown in Table 4.15.

TABLE 4.15 :	Toxicity	Values	of Sape	ogenin
----------------	----------	--------	---------	--------

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	-0.888 mg/Kg
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	2.395 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	1.462 mg/Kg
6	Hepatoxicity	No
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	0.304 ug/L
9	Minnow toxicity	$1.486 \mathrm{mM}$
4.3.1.6 Quercetin

Quercetin is the most abundant dietary flavonoid derived from plants. This bioactive compound has strong ability to inhibit those proteins which play a vital role in infections. The toxicity values of Quercetin were shown in Table 4.16.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	0.438 mg/Kg
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	2.482 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	10.662 mg/Kg
6	Hepatoxicity	No
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	$0.285 \log ug/L$
9	Minnow toxicity	$6.093 \log \mathrm{mM}$

TABLE 4.16: Toxicity Values of Quercetin

4.3.1.7 Trigonelline

Trigonelline is a chemical compound derived from alkaloid. These chemical compounds prevent inflammation and protects cells in diabetes by inhibiting fetal growth during pregnancy with leptin and insulin. The toxicity values of Trigonelline were shown in Table 4.17.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	$0.743 \mathrm{\ mg/Kg}$
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	1.878 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	0.454 mg/Kg
6	Hepatoxicity	No
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	-0.323 \log ug/L
9	Minnow toxicity	$2.536 \log \mathrm{mM}$

TABLE 4.17: Toxicity Values of Trigonelline

4.3.1.8 Tricin

Tricin is a chemical compound. It is an O-methylated flavone, a type of flavonoid. Tricin has been shown to interfere with TLR4 activation by inhibiting proteins to stimulate inflammatory cascades. The toxicity values of Tricin were shown in Table 4.18.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	0.351 mg/Kg
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	2.229 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	1.82 mg/kg
6	Hepatoxicity	No
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	$0.329 \log \mathrm{ug/L}$
9	Minnow toxicity	$1.754 \log \mathrm{mM}$

TABLE 4.18: Toxicity Values of Tricin

4.3.1.9 Naringenin

Naringenin is a flavonoid belonging to flavones subclass. Naringenin can play a protective role by minimizing mucous production during airway inflammation by regulating the production of reactive oxygen species (ROs) and inhibiting NF-kB activity. The toxicity values of Naringenin were shown in Table 4.19.

4.3.1.10 Flavonol

Flavonols are polyphenols belonging to the class of flavonoids. Flavonol use their anti-inflammatory activities by decreasing the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the down-regulation of numerous inflammatory mediators through inhibition of signaling pathways. The toxicity values of Flavonol were shown in Table 4.20.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted values
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	-0.176 mg/Kg
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	1.791 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	1.944 mg/Kg
6	Hepatoxicity	No
7	Skin sensitisation	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	0.369 ug/L
9	Minnow toxicity	$2.136~\mathrm{mM}$

 TABLE 4.19: Toxicity Values of Naringenin

 TABLE 4.20:
 Toxicity Values Of Flavonol

S.No	Model Name	Predicted values	
1	Max.tolerated dose (human)	$-0.09 \mathrm{~mg/Kg}$	
2	hERG I inhibitor	No	
3	hERG II inhibitor	No	
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	1.991 mol/Kg	
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	1.582 mg/Kg	
6	Hepatoxicity	No	
7	Skin sensitisation	No	
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	$0.699 \log ug/L$	
9	Minnow toxicity	$1.205 \log \mathrm{mM}$	

4.4 Molecular Docking

Molecular Docking is technique used to estimate the strength of a bond between a ligand and a target protein through a special scoring function and to determine the correct structure of the ligand within the target binding site. The 3D structure of the target proteins and the ligands is taken as the input for docking. It represents a frequently used approach in structure-based drug design since it requires a 3D structure of a target protein.

It can be used to determine the correct structure of the ligand within the target binding site, and to estimate the strength of the binding between the ligand and the target proteins through a specific scoring function. It also helps in the recognition of new small molecular compounds, revealing the essential properties, such as high interaction between binding with target protein having reasonable absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion, which help in the selection of lead for the target [119].

The docking were performed using Cox-2, m PGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase proteins and ligands were alkaloid, flavonoid, phytic acid, 4-hydroxyisoleucin, sapogenin, quercetin, trigonelline, tricin, naringenin and flavonol. Ligands with best binding score values with Cox-2, m PGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase were represented in Table 4.21 A and 4.21 B. To automatically predict binding modes without information about binding sites, we used a user-friendly blind docking web server called CB Dock, which Predicts and estimate a binding site for a given protein and calculate centers and sizes with a novel rotation cavity detection method and perform docking with the popular docking program named Auto dock Vina [120].

CB dock gives 5 best interacting confirmations for each ligand molecule. These confirmations are arranged based on binding affinity and then finest confirmation selection is done on the bases of highest affinity score of protein-ligand interaction. After docking process the dock structure are selected for further analysis, on the basis of docking score, cavity size, Grid map, binding energy, we can select best docked structure.

S.No	Compounds	Alkaloid	Flavonoid	Phytic Acid	4-Hydroxyisoleucine	Sapogenin
1	Binding Score	-6.7	-9.0	-6.8	-5.5	-9.6
2	Cavity size	1232	1232	3377	3795	3377
3	HBD	1	3	12	3	4
4	HBA	5	8	12	3	5
5	$\log P$	1.7499	2.6026	-3.1326	-0.5848	4.6027
6	Molecular Weight g/-	315.4 g/-	360.3 g/mol	$660.04~\mathrm{g/mol}$	147.17 g/mol	$490.7~{\rm g/mol}$
	mol	mol				
7	Rotatable Bonds	1	4	12	3	2
8	Grid Map	14	14	58	73	58
0	Min-energy	0	0	0	0	0
9	Kcl/mol	0	0	0	0	0
10	Max-energy	1.00	1.00	1.000 - 00	1.605 - 00	1.60
10	Kcl/mol	1.60E + 00	1.60E+00	1.60E+00	1.60E + 00	1.60E + 00

TABLE 4.21: A: Ligands with Best Binding Score Values with Cox-2, M PGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase

59

S.No	Compounds	Quercetin	Trigonelline	Tricin	Naringenin	Flavonol
1	Binding Score	-9.6	-5.9	-9.3	-8.8	-9.4
2	Cavity Size	4717	4247	3377	1129	4247
3	HBD	5	0	3	3	1
4	HBA	7	2	7	5	3
5	$\log P$	1.988	-1.1254	2.594	2.5099	3.1656
6	Molecular Weight g/mol	302.23 g/mol	137.14 g/mol	330.29 g/-	$272.25~\mathrm{g/mol}$	238.24
				mol		g/mol
7	Rotatable Bonds	1	1	3	1	1
8	Grid Map	36	20	58	-38	20
0	Min-energy	0	0	0	0	0
9	Kcl/mol	0	0	0	0	0
10	Max-energy	1.000			1.005.00	1.60
10	Kcl/mol	1.60E+00	1.60E + 00	1.60E + 00	1.60E + 00	1.60E + 00

Continued Table 4.21 B: Ligands with Best Binding Score Values with Cox-2, M PGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase

60

4.5 Interaction of Ligands and Target Protein

The interaction of the active pockets of the ligand and the protein are calculated for the interpretation of docking results. Two types of interactions are studied; hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic bonding interaction. Using Ligplot plus (version v.1.4.5) the protein ligand interactions were studied [121]. By using Ligplot plus the interaction of active confirmation of ligands and the target protein has been identified. The saved conformations for ligand receptor complex of each molecule are analyzed in detail. This software automatically generates schematic diagrams of the protein-ligand interactions of the given ligands in the PDB file. The docked files are uploaded in PDB format to get hydrogen and hydrophobic bonding. Hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions of active ligands were shown in Table 4.22. The ligand Alkaloid made 2 hydrogen bonds and 2 hydrophobic interactions. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding are ASN and GLU as show in Figure 4.9. The ligand Flavonoid made 3 hydrogen bonds and 11 hydrophobic interactions. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding are ASN, CYS and GLU were shown in Figure 4.10. The Phytic acid made 4 Hydrogen bonds and 10 hydrophobic interactions. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding are ASN, GLY, GLY and GLN were shown in Figure 4.11. The 4-Hydroxyisoleucine made 5 Hydrogen bonds and 6 hydrophobic interactions. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding are GLY, MET, SER, TYR and VAL were shown in Figure 4.12. The Sapogenin made 2 Hydrogen bonds and 15 hydrophobic interactions. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding are ASN and GLY were shown in Figure 4.13. The Quercetin made 5 Hydrogen bonds and 6 hydrophobic interactions. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding are ALA, CYS, GLY, GLU and PRO were shown in Figure 4.14. The Trigonelline made 2 Hydrogen bonds and 8 hydrophobic interactions. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding are GLN and GLN which were shown in Figure 4.15. The Tricin made 4 Hydrogen bonds and 9 hydrophobic interactions. The residues involved in hydrogen bonding are ASN, ASN, CYS and GLY were shown in Figure 4.16. The Naringenin made 1 Hydrogen bond and 6 hydrophobic interactions. The residue involved in hydrogen bonding is CYS and was shown in Figure 4.17. The Flavonol made 1 Hydrogen bond and 12 hydrophobic interactions. The residue involved in hydrogen bonding is ASP which was shown in Figure 4.18. A significant number of hydrophobic and hydrogen bond interactions are observed between the ten ligands and the four target proteins. Ligand-receptor complex shows strong hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions and van der Waal forces [122].



FIGURE 4.9: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Alkaloid Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.10: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Flavonoid Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.11: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Phytic Acid Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.12: 2D Representation of Docked Complex 4-Hydroxyisoleucine Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.13: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Sapogenin Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.14: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Quercetin Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.15: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Trigonelline Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.16: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Tricin Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.17: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Naringenin Using Ligplot-Plus



FIGURE 4.18: 2D Representation of Docked Complex Flavonol Using Ligplot-Plus

S.No	Ligand Name	Binding Energy	No. of HBs	Hydrogen E Amino Acids	Bonding Distance	Hydrophobic Bonding
1	Alkaloid	-6.7	2	ND:ASN: O N:GLU:OE	2.91 2.65	Tyr55 Pro40
2	Flavonoid	-9.0	3	ND-ASN:O N:ARG:O OC1:ASP:O	3.24 2.96 3.13	Asn39 Ala156 Arg469 Cys36 Cys37 Cys41 Cys159 Glu46 Leu152 Pro153 Vol155
3	Phytic Acid	-6.8	4	OD-ASN:O N-GLY:O N-GLY:O	2.87 3.21 3.06	Ala156 Asp157 Asp158

TABLE 4.22: Active Ligand Showing Hydrogen and Hydrophobic Interactions

				Hydrogen I	Bonding	Hydrophobic
S.No	Ligand Name	Binding Energy	No. of HBs	Amino Acids	Distance	Bonding
				N-GLN:O	2.93	Glu326
						His133
						Met48
						Pro154
						Tyr134
						Tyr136
						Val155
4	4-Hydroxyisoleucine	-5.5	5	N-GLY:O	3.24	Ala527
				N-MET:O	3.00	Leu352
				N-SER:OG	2.81	Tyr348
				N-TYR:OH	2.72	Trp387
				N-VAL:O	2.86	Phe518
						Val349

Continued Table 4.22: Active Ligand Showing Hydrogen and Hydrophobic Interactions.

				Hydrogen l	Bonding	Hydrophobic
S.No	Ligand Name	Binding Energy	No. of HBs	Amino Acids	Distance	Bonding
	a :	0.0	2	ND-ASN:O	2.80	Asn39
5	Sapogenin	-9.6	2	ND-GLY:O	2.72	Arg44
						Ala156
						Cys36
						Cys41
						Cys47
						Gly45
						Glu46
						Gln461
						Leu152
						Met48
						Pro153
						Pro154
						Tyr130
						Tyr136
6	Querectin	0.6	۲.	N-ALA:O	3.25	Asn39
U	Quercetin	-9.0	G	N-CYS:O	2.87	Cys41

Continued Table 4.22: Active Ligand Showing Hydrogen and Hydrophobic Interactions.

				Hydrogen I	Bonding	Hydrophobic
S.No	Ligand Name	Binding Energy	No. of HBs	Amino Acids	Distance	Bonding
				N-GLU:OE	3.19	Glu46
				N-GLY:O	3.16	Gly153
				N-PRO:O	2.94	Pro153
						Leu152
7	Trigonelline	-5.9	2	NE-GLN:O	2.83	Glu140
				NE-GLN:O	3.01	Gly235
						Glu236
						Leu238
						Lys333
						Ser143
						Trp139
						Thr 237

	Continued Table 4.22:	Active Ligand Sho	wing Hydrogen and	Hydrophobic Interactions.
--	-----------------------	-------------------	-------------------	---------------------------

				Hydrogen I	Bonding	Hydrophobic
S.No	Ligand Name	Binding Energy	No. of HBs	Amino Acids	Distance	Bonding
8	Tricin	-9.3	4	ND-ASN:O ND-ASN:O N-CYS:O N-GLY:O	3.20 2.90 2.83 2.88	Ala156 Glu46 Gly135 Gln461 Met48 Pro153 Pro154 Tyr136 Val155
9	Naringenin	-8.8	1	N-CYS:O	2.93	Asn39 Arg44 Arg469 Cys36 Gly45 Glu46 Leu152 Pro153

Continued Table 4.22: Active Ligand Showing Hydrogen and Hydrophobic Interactions.

S.No	Ligand Name	Binding Energy	No. of HBs	Hydrogen Amino Acids	Bonding Distance	Hydrophobic Bonding
10	Flavonol	-9.4	1	N-ASP:O	3.23	Asp36 Ala40 Ala44 Ile139 Gly43 Glu141 Gly143 His49 Lys47 Phe48 Pro52

Continued Table 4.22:	Active Ligand Showing H	Hydrogen and Hydrophobic	Interactions.
Commuted Table 4.22.	Then the fing and buowing i	ing an open and ing a ophobic	meetacolons.

4.6 ADME Properties of Ligands

ADME properties of ligands extracted from pkCSM online tool. Toxicity provides insights into the nature of ligands, which must be considered before designing a drug. To use a compound as a chemotherapeutic agent, it must first be tested for toxicity. PkCSM is used to find the toxicity and ADME properties of the drug. This server takes SMILES as input. The dragged server values are as follow.

4.6.1 Absorption

In pharmacology (specifically pharmacokinetics), the transfer of a drug from the bloodstream into the tissues is called absorption. So the chemical composition of a drug, as well as the environment into which a drug is placed, work together to determine the rate and extent of drug absorption.

For drug absorption it is necessary, that a drug must cross cellular barriers for example epithelial or endothelial cells, etc. Only a few drugs move across cellular barriers in an "active" way; that is, a way that requires energy (ATP) and moves the drug from an area of low concentration to an area of higher On the other hand, most drugs cross cellular barriers via passive diffusion; that is, drugs simply move from an area of higher concentration to an area of lower concentration by diffusing through cell membranes.

This type of drug movement does not require any energy expenditure, but will be influenced by the size of the drug and the solubility of the drug. Absorption properties of ligands were shown in Table 4.23 and 4.24 respectively.

S.No	Ligands	Alkaloid	Flavonoid	Phytic acid	4-Hydroxyisoleucine	Sapogenin
1	Water solubility	-2.721 mol/L	-3.178 mol/L	2.797 mol/L	-2.888 mol/L	-5.433 mol/L
2	Caco2 permeability	1.45 cm/S	$0.367 \mathrm{~cm/S}$	$1.953~{ m cm/S}$	$0.505 \mathrm{~cm/S}$	$1.055 \mathrm{~cm/S}$
3	Intestinal absorption (human)	90.091~%	92.645~%	66.375~%	57.573~%	95.08~%
4	Skin Permeability	-3.248 log Kp	$-2.735 \log Kp$	2.735	-2.735 log Kp	-3.702 log Kp
5	P-glycoprotein substrate	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
6	P-glycoprotein I inhibitor	No	No	No	No	Yes
7	P-glycoprotein II inhibitor	No	Yes	No	No	No

 TABLE 4.23: Absorption Properties of Ligands

 TABLE 4.24:
 Absorption Properties of Ligands

S.No	Ligands	Quercetin	Trigonelline	Tricin	Naringenin	Flavonol
1	Water solubility	-2.925 mol/L	-1.931 mol/L	-3.276 mol/L	3.224 mol/L	-3.683 mol/L
2	Caco2 permeability	-0.229 cm/S	1.124 cm/S	0.12 cm/S	1.029 cm/S	$1.263 \mathrm{~cm/S}$
3	Intestinal absorption (human)	77.207~%	96.44~%	89.713~%	91.31~%	94.776~%
4	Skin Permeability	$-2.735 \log Kp$	-2.736 log Kp	-2.735 log Kp	$2.742 \log Kp$	-2.775 log Kp
5	P-glycoprotein substrate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	P-glycoprotein I inhibitor	No	No	No	No	No
7	P-glycoprotein II inhibitor	No	No	No	No	No

4.6.2 Distribution

Distribution in pharmacology is a branch of pharmacokinetics which deals with the movement of drug within the body from one location to another location. When a drug enters the systemic circulation by absorption or direct administration, it must be distributed into interstitial and intracellular fluids. The distribution of ligands were shown in Table 4.25 and 4.26 respectively.

TABLE 4.25 :	Distribution	of Ligands
----------------	--------------	------------

S.No	Ligands	Alkaloid	Flavonoid	Phytic acid	4-Hydroxyisoleucine	Sapogenin
1	VDss (human)	$0.831 \mathrm{L/Kg}$	$0.313 \mathrm{~L/Kg}$	$0.011 \mathrm{~L/Kg}$	-0.539 L/Kg	$0.258 \mathrm{~L/Kg}$
2	Fraction unbound (human)	0.396 Fu	0.053 Fu	0.381 Fu	0.474 Fu	0.151 Fu
3	BBB permeability	$0.19 \log BB$	-1.244 log BB	$-8.285 \log BB$	$-0.564 \log BB$	$-0.514 \log BB$
4	CNS permeability	$-2.329 \log PS$	$-3.262 \log PS$	$-9.599 \log \mathrm{PS}$	$-3.489 \log PS$	$-2.995 \log PS$

TABLE 4.26: Distribution of Ligands

S.No	Ligands	Quercetin	Trigonelline	Tricin	Naringenin	Flavonol
1	VDss (human)	$1.559 \mathrm{~L/Kg}$	-0.758 L/Kg	$0.798 \ \mathrm{L/Kg}$	-0.015 L/Kg	$0.214 \mathrm{~L/Kg}$
2	Fraction unbound (human)	0.206 Fu	0.857 Fu	0.084 Fu	0.064 Fu	0.151 Fu
3	BBB permeability	$-1.098 \log BB$	$-0.234 \log BB$	$-1.115 \log BB$	$-0.578 \log BB$	$0.462 \log BB$
4	CNS permeability	$-3.065 \log PS$	$-2.739 \log PS$	$-3.411 \log PS$	$-2.215 \log PS$	$-1.733 \log PS$

|

4.6.3 Metabolism

Metabolism is the process of converting one compound into another with the help of enzymes. Mostly metabolism occurs in the plasma of blood, liver, intestine and lungs. Generally, the metabolic process will convert the drug into a more water-soluble compound by increasing its polarity. Metabolic properties of ligands were shown in Table 4.27 and 4.28 respectively.

S.No	Ligands	Alkaloid	Flavonoid	Phytic acid	4-Hydroxyisoleucine	Sapogenin
1	CYP2D6 substrate	No	No	No	No	No
2	CYP3A4 substrate	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
3	CYP1A2 inhibitior	No	Yes	No	No	No
4	CYP2C19 inhibitior	No	No	No	No	No
5	CYP2C9 inhibitior	No	No	No	No	No
6	CYP2D6 inhibitior	No	No	No	No	No
7	CYP3A4 inhibitior	No	No	No	No	No

TABLE 4.27: Metabolic Properties of Ligands

S.No	Ligands	Quercetin	Trigonelline	Tricin	Naringenin	Flavonol
1	CYP2D6 substrate	No	No	No	No	No
2	CYP3A4 substrate	No	No	No	No	Yes
3	CYP1A2 inhibitior	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	CYP2C19 inhibitior	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
5	CYP2C9 inhibitior	No	No	No	No	No
6	CYP2D6 inhibitior	No	No	No	No	No
7	CYP3A4 inhibitior	No	No	No	No	No

TABLE 4.28: Metabolic Properties of Ligands

4.6.4 Excretion

The organs involved in drug excretion are the kidneys, which play important role in excretion (renal excretion) and the liver (biliary excretion). Other organs may also be involved in excretion, such as the lungs for volatile or gaseous agents. Drugs can also be excreted in sweat, saliva and tears. Excretory properties of ligands were shown in Table 4.29 and 4.30.

TABLE 4.29: Excretory Properties of Ligands

S.No	Ligands	Alkaloid	Flavonoid	Phytic acid	4-Hydroxyisoleucine	Sapogenin
$\frac{1}{2}$	Total Clearance Renal OCT2 sub- strate	$1.032 \ {\rm ml/Kg}$ No	0.549 ml/Kg No	-0.218 ml/Kg No	0.35 ml/Kg No	1.218 ml/Kg Yes

S.No	Ligands	Quercetin	Trigonelline	Tricin	Naringenin	Flavonol
$\frac{1}{2}$	Total Clearance Renal OCT2 substrate	$0.407 \ {\rm ml/Kg}$ No	0.378 ml/Kg No	0.62 ml/Kg No	0.06 ml/Kg No	-21.759 ml/Kg No

TABLE 4.30: Excretory Properties of Ligands

4.7 Lead Compound Identification

Sapogenin is identified as the lead compound which shows the best binding score, hydrogen bonding and pharmacokinetic properties. The toxicity measurements by online tool pkCSM. Sapogenin has been chosen as the lead compound because it is the most active compound for the inhibition of COX2, mPGES-2, HNE and Tyrosinase.

4.8 Anti-Inflammatory Drug Identification

The most popular and effective drugs used for the inflammation were listed in the Table 4.31 along with their mechanism of action. So these drugs show great results against inflammation. KEGG and Drug Bank databases are used for these drugs identification along with their mechanism of action.

S.No	Drugs	Mechanism of action	References
1	Aspirin (Acetosal)	Aspirin stops prostaglandin synthe- sis. It is non-selective for COX-1 and COX-2 enzymes.	[123]
2	Ibuprofen (2- hydroxyibuprofen)	The primary mechanism of action of ibuprofen is the inhibitory, reversible inhibition of cyclooxygenase enzymes Cox-1 and Cox-2 (coded by PTGS-1 and PTGS-2 respectively)	[124]
3	Paracetamol (Ac- etaminophen)	Paracetamol has a central analgesic effect that is mediated by activat- ing the descending serotonergic path- ways. There is debate about its pri- mary site of action, which may inhibit prostaglandin (PG) synthesis.	[125]
4	Celebrex (Etori- coxib)	The mechanism of action of Celebrex is due to the inhibition of the selection of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), which is an integral part of the pain and inflammation pathway responsible for prostaglandin synthesis.	[126]
5	Diclofenac (Voltaren)	The mechanism of action of Di- clofenac is to inhibit cyclooxygenase- 1 and -2, because these enzymes are responsible for production of prostaglandin (PG) G2 which is the precursor to other PGs.	[127]
6	Naproxen (Aflaxen)	Naproxen acts by inhibiting the COX- 1 and COX-2 and other enzymes as well which involved in inflammation.	[128]

Table 4.31 :	Drugs And	Their	Mechanism	of Actio	n
----------------	-----------	-------	-----------	----------	---

4.9 Selection of Anti-Inflammatory Drugs

The selection of most efficient anti-inflammatory drug is based on the physiochemical, ADMET properties along mechanism of action with side effects. For physiochemical properties PubChem online database is used and for ADMET properties of drugs pkCSM online tool is used. Mechanism of action is identified through Drug Bank and KEGG databases. Selected anti-inflammatory drugs and their properties were shown in Table 4.32 and 4.33.

S.No	Properties	Aspirin	Ibuprofen	Paracetamol
1	Chemical formula	C9H8O4	C13H18O2	C8H9NO2
2	Absorption	Intestinal absorption of Aspirin occurs at a much faster rate.	Approximately take 2 hours	2-2.5 hours
3	Water solubility mg/ml	-1.868	-3.696	-1.661
4	logP	1.3101	3.0732	1.3506
5	H-bond donor	1	1	2
6	H-bond Acceptor	3	1	2
7	Bioavailability	1	1	1
8	Polarizability	17.1 Å3	23.76 Å3	15.52 Å3
9	ADMET probability	0.9645	0.9927	0.9921
		\cdot Rash,	Headache,	Allergic reaction, Flushing, Low
		GI ulcerations,	Dizziness,	blood pressure and a Fast heartbeat, Blood
		Abdominal pain,	Drowsiness,	disorders, liver and kidney damage.
10	Side Effects	Upset stomach,	Fatigue and restless sleep.	v C
		Heartburn,	Thirst and sweat-	
		Drowsiness.	0.	
		headache,		
		cramping,		
		nausea, gastritis and		
		Bleeding.		

TIDDE 1.02. OIVOI TUDIO DIOND OD DIUGD UNG THOI I IOPOINOD	TABLE 4.32	Given	Table	Shows	Us	Drugs a	and	Their	Properties
--	--------------	-------	-------	-------	----	---------	-----	-------	------------

S.No	Properties	Celebrex	Diclofenac	Naproxen
1	Chemical formula	C17H14F3N3O2S	C14H11Cl2NO2	C14H14O3
2	Absorption	Rapid	Take 4.5 hours	Take 5 hours
3	Water solubility mg/ml	-4.45	-3.863	-3.144
4	logP	3.51392	4.3641	3.0365
5	H-bond donor	1	2	1
6	H-bond Acceptor	4	2	2
7	Bioavailability	1	1	1
8	Polarizability	35.2 Å3	27.93 Å3	24.81 Å3
9	ADMET probability	1.00	0.9548	0.9948
10	Side Effects	Stomach pain, Consti- pation, Diarrhea, Heart- burn, Nausea, Vomiting and Dizziness.	Headaches, dizziness, Stomach pain, Diarrhea and Rashes.	Headache, Ringing in the ears, Changes in vision, Tiredness, Drowsiness, Dizziness and Rashes.

4.9.1 Celebrex Drug

Celecoxib is a Cox-2 selective inhibitor and is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). Celecoxib block the cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) and other enzymes activity as well, and it is used to treat pain and inflammation [129]. It is marketed by Pfizer under the name Celebrex, and was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1998. 2D structure of Celebrex drug is shown in Figure 4.19. The Food and Drug Administration panel concluded that a prescription painkiller that has been under decade seems to be safer than previously thought. Celecoxib, a drug sold by Pfizer under the name Celebrex, has no higher risk of heart attack and stroke than other widely used painkillers. It is usefulness in relieving pain, swelling and improving the physical function of the body [130]. It is a generic drug sold in US, UK, Spain, Germany and mostly in Asia to treat pain and inflammation. Physiochemical properties of Celebrex were shown in Table 4.34.



FIGURE 4.19: 2D Structure of Celebrex Drug from PubChem Database

logP	Rotatable	H-bond	H-bond	Molecular	Molecular
Value	Bonds	Acceptor	Donor	Formula	Weight
3.51392	3	4	1	C17H14F3N3O2S	381.379

 TABLE 4.34: Physiochemical Properties of Celebrex

4.10 Drug ADMET Properties

The ADMET properties of the selected drug (Celebrex) were identified through pkCSM online prediction tool.

4.10.1 Toxicity Prediction of Selected Drug

The toxicity value of selected drug Celebrex were given below in Table 4.35.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted values
1	Max.tolerated dose(human)	$0.178 \mathrm{\ mg/Kg}$
2	hERG I inhibitor	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	1.975 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	$1.526 \mathrm{~mg/Kg}$
6	Hepatoxicity	Yes
7	Skin sensitization	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	$0.43 \mathrm{~ug/L}$
9	Minnow toxicity	$0.86 \log \mathrm{mM}$

 TABLE 4.35: Toxicity Values of Celebrex

4.10.2 Absorption Properties

The absorption properties of selected drug Celebrex were given below in Table 4.36.

S.No	Model Name	Value
1	Water solubility	-4.45 mol/L
2	Caco2 permeability	$0.839 \mathrm{~cm/S}$
3	Intestinal absorption (human)	92.995~%
4	Skin Permeability	$-2.692 \log Kp$
5	P-glycoprotein substrate	Yes
6	P-glycoprotein I inhibitor	Yes
7	P-glycoprotein II inhibitor	Yes

TABLE 4.36 :	Absorption	Values of	of Celebrex
----------------	------------	-----------	-------------

4.10.3 Distribution Properties

The distribution properties of selected drug Celebrex were given below in Table 4.37.

S.No	Model Name	Value	
1	VDss (human)		-0.273 L/Kg
2	Fraction unbound (l man)	0.133 Fu	
3	BBB permeability	-0.931 log BB	
4	CNS permeability	$-2.052 \log PS$	

TABLE 4.37: Distribution Properties of Selected Drug Celebrex

4.10.4 Metabolic Properties

The metabolic properties of selected drug Celebrex are given below in Table 4.38.

S. No	Model Name	Predicted Value
1	CYP2D6 substrate	No
2	CYP3A4 substrate	Yes
3	CYP1A2 inhibitor	Yes
4	CYP2C19 inhibitor	Yes
5	CYP2C9 inhibitor	Yes
6	CYP2D6 inhibitor	No
7	CYP3A4 inhibitor	Yes

TABLE 4.38: Metabolic Properties of Selected Drug Celebrex

4.10.5 Excretion Properties

The excretion properties of selected drug Celebrex were given below in Table 4.39.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Value
1	Total Clearance	0.435 ml/Kg
2	Renal OCT2 substrate	No

TABLE 4.39: Excretion Properties of Selected Drug Celebrex

4.11 Celebrex Mechanism of Action

Celebrex is the selected drug which was selected through comparing physiochemical and ADMET properties with other identified drugs. Celecoxib, it also works by blocking synthesis of Prostaglandins involved in pain, fever and inflammation [131]. Cox-2 catalyzes arachidonic acid by converting prostaglandin G1& G2 (PGE1 & PGE2) to prostaglandin H1& H2 (PGH1 &PGH2). In the Cox-2 catalyzed pathway, PGH2 is a precursor to prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and I2 (PGI2). PGE2 causes pain, fever, swelling and redness of the skin. Celecoxib binding to the upper portion of the active site, it forms an antagonist of COX-2, preventing its substrate, arachidonic acid, from entering the active site.



FIGURE 4.20: Celebrex Drug Mechanism of Action Through Drug Bank

4.12 Celebrex Effects on Body

Celebrex which having both positive and negative effects on the body. Firstly being used as infectious disease treatment, it can also reduce pain, fever and inflammation and use as a painkiller. Celebrex increases the risk of bleeding due to ulcers in stomach or intestine, which can be deadly. It can happen at any time without warning signs. If you are older, you are at higher risk for these problems. By stopping prostaglandin synthesis, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) damage the mucus in the gastrointestinal tract, causing ulceration and ulcer complications [132]. Celebrex has a lower risk of causing ulceration than other NSAIDs.

4.13 Celebrex Docking

For the docking purpose CB Dock online docking tool is used. It gives us 5 best confirmation results and finest is selected. Celebrex is used as ligand and cox-2, m PGES-2, HNE and tyrosinase are selected as receptors. As the mechanism of action shows that Celebrex inhibits Cox-2 and other enzymes which involve in inflammation. So docking help us to find out the inhibition value, therefore the values were shown in Table 4.40.

S.No	Compound	Celebrex
1	Binding Score	-10.4
2	HBD	1
3	HBA	4
4	$\log P$	3.51392
5	Molecular Weight g/mol	381.379
6	Rotatable Bonds	3
7	Grid Map	58
8	Min-energy Kcl/mol	0
9	Max-energy Kcl/mol	1.60E + 00
10	Cavity Size	3377

TABLE 4.40: Celebrex Docking Scores Via Cb Dock

4.14 Celebrex and Anti-Inflammatory Agent Comparison

The comparison between Celebrex and Sapogenin help us to identify the better treatment for infectious diseases. Comparison is being performed through parameters like; ADMET properties and physiochemical properties of celebrex and sapogenins. Celebrex and Sapogenin Lipinski rule of fives were given in Table 4.41.

S.No	Drug	logP Value	Molecular Weight	H-Bond Acceptor	H-Bond Donor
1	Celebrex	3.51392	381.379 g/mol	4	1
2	Sapogenin	4.6027	$490.725~\mathrm{g/mol}$	5	4

TABLE 4.41: Celebrex and Sapogenins Lipinski Rule of Fives

So it is determine that Sapogenin bioactive compound which shows us better result over celebrex (generic drug) according to comparison.

4.14.1 ADMET Properties Comparison

ADMET properties include the values regarding to drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicity. These values help us to determine the drug activity and efficiency.

4.14.1.1 Toxicity Comparison

The toxicity ranges of Celebrex and Sapogenin were given in Table 4.42. The max tolerated dose for Celebrex is 0.178 and for Sapogenin is -0.888 and oral acute toxicity rat of Sapogenin is greater and chronic toxicity rat of Celebrex is higher.

S.No	Model Name	Predicted Values	
		Celebrex	Sapogenin
1	Max.tolerated dose(human)	$0.178 \mathrm{~mg/Kg}$	-0.888 mg/Kg
2	hERG I inhibitor	No	No
3	hERG II inhibitor	No	No
4	Oral rat acute toxicity	1.975 mol/Kg	2.395 mol/Kg
5	Oral rat chronic toxicity	1.526 mg/Kg	1.462 mg/Kg
6	Hepatoxicity	Yes	No
7	Skin sensitization	No	No
8	t.pyriformis toxicity	$0.43 \log ug/L$	$0.304 \log ug/L$
9	Minnow toxicity	$0.86 \log \mathrm{mM}$	$1.486 \ \rm log \ mM$

TABLE 4.42: Toxicity Values of Celebrex & Sapogenin Via PkCSM Tool

4.14.1.2 Absorption Properties Comparison

The absorption properties of Celebrex drug and Sapogenin were given in Table 4.43.

S.No	Ligand	Celebrex	Sapogenin
1	Water solubility	-4.45 mol/L	-5.433 mol/L
2	Caco2 permeability	$0.839~\mathrm{cm/S}$	$1.055~\mathrm{cm/S}$
3	Intestinal absorption (hu-	92.995~%	95.08~%
	man)		
4	Skin Permeability	$-2.692 \log Kp$	-3.702 log Kp
5	P-glycoprotein substrate	Yes	Yes
6	P-glycoprotein I inhibitor	Yes	Yes
7	P-glycoprotein II inhibitor	Yes	No

TABLE 4.43: Absorption Properties of Celebrex Drug and Sapogenin

So it is determine that Sapogenin is easily soluble in water as compared to Celebrex and it is permeable to skin. The intestine absorption of Sapogenin is greater as compared to Celebrex.

4.14.1.3 Distribution Properties Comparison

The distribution properties of Celebrex drug and Sapogenin were given in Table 4.44.

S.No	Ligand	Celebrex	Sapogenin
1	VDss (human)	-0.273 L/Kg	$0.258 \mathrm{~L/Kg}$
2	Fraction unbound (human)	0.133 Fu	$0.151 { m Fu}$
3	BBB permeability	-0.931 log BB	-0.514 log BB
4	CNS permeability	$-2.052 \log PS$	$-2.995 \log PS$

TABLE 4.44: Distribution Properties of Celebrex Drug and Sapogenin

4.14.1.4 Metabolic Properties Comparison

The metabolic properties of Celebrex drug and Sapogenin were given in Table 4.45.

S.No	Ligand	Celebrex	Sapogenin
1	CYP2D6 substrate	No	No
2	CYP3A4 substrate	Yes	Yes
3	CYP1A2 inhibitor	No	Yes
4	CYP2C19 inhibitor	Yes	No
5	CYP2C9 inhibitor	Yes	No
6	CYP2D6 inhibitor	No	No
7	CYP3A4 inhibitor	Yes	No

TABLE 4.45: Metabolic Properties of Celebrex Drug and Sapogenin

The CYP3A4 substrate present in both Celebrex and Sapogenin but CYP1A2 inhibitor present only in Sapogenin that helps in the metabolism of drug.
4.14.1.5 Excretion Properties Comparison

The excretion properties of Celebrex drug and Sapogenin were given in Table 4.46.

S.NoLigandCelebrexSapogenin1Total Clearance0.435 ml/Kg1.218 ml/Kg2Renal OCT2 sub-
strateNoNo

TABLE 4.46: Excretion Properties of Celebrex Drug and Sapogenin

The total clearance value of Sapogenin in the body is greater that helps in the excretion of drug from the body.

4.14.2 Physiochemical Properties Comparison

The comparison between physiochemical properties of Celebrex and Sapogenin is important steps that help us to find out the drug activity manner and biochemical reactivity portion. So the comparison between physiochemical properties of Celebrex and Sapogenin were shown in Table 4.47.

So from physiochemical properties it is determine that the logp value, hydrogen bond acceptor, hydrogen bond donor and molecular weight of Sapogenin are greater as compere to Celebrex. So it means that Sapogenin can act as inhibitor for inhibition of those enzymes which involved in inflammation.

S.No	Drugs	logP Value	Rotatable Bonds		H-bond Acceptor	H-bond Donor		Molecular Formula	Molecular Weight
1	Celebrex	3.51392		3	4		1	C17H14F3N3O2S	$381.379~\mathrm{g/mol}$
2	Sapogenin	4.6027		2	5		4	C30H50O5	$490.725~\mathrm{g/mol}$

 TABLE 4.47:
 Comparison Between Physiochemical Properties of Celebrex and Sapogenin

Chapter 5

Conclusions and Future Prospects

The aim of this research is to identify a compound using computational method for the treatment of infectious diseases that could be used in near future as an efficient drug. After performing data mining studies on literature databases ten ligands were selected for the current research work. The proteins used for virtual screening were COX-2, Human Neutrophil Elastase (HNE), microsomal PGES-2 and Tyrosinase proteins. CB Dock automated version of Auto Dock vina is used for the docking studies. Protein ligand interactions of these ligands were analyzed using Ligplot plus version v.1.4.5. After the detailed analysis of their binding score, physiochemical properties and ADMET properties, Sapogenin is identified as a potent inhibitor for inflammation. From the above mentioned physiochemical and ADMET values it is concluded that the Sapogenin activity in comparison to Celebrex is better in activity. In drug designing, structure based drug designing and lead discovery have been used efficiently. All the software's and tools used in the current research study are reliable and authenticate.

5.1 Future Prospects

Sapogenin follows the Lipinski rule of 5s. So from the current research work we identified that near in future Sapogenin can act as an efficient and a possible

alternative drug for the treatment of Infectious Diseases, which having less side effect as compare to synthetic drugs.

Bibliography

- Sulieman, A.M.E., A.O. Ali, and J. Hemavathy, Short communication lipid content and fatty acid composition of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) seeds grown in Sudan. International Journal of Food Science and Technology, 2008. 43: p. 380-382.
- [2]. Hegazy, A., Influence of using fenugreek seed flour as antioxidant and antimicrobial agent in the manufacturing of beef burger with emphasis on frozen storage stability. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2011. 7(4): p. 391-399.
- [3]. Nahas, R. and M. Moher, Complementary and alternative medicine for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Canadian Family Physician, 2009. 55(6): p. 591-596.
- [4]. Micallef, M.A. and M.L. Garg, Beyond blood lipids: phytosterols, statins and omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid therapy for hyperlipidemia. The Journal of nutritional biochemistry, 2009. 20(12): p. 927-939.
- [5]. Mullaicharam, A., G. Deori, and R.U. Maheswari, Medicinal values of fenugreeka review. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences, 2013. 4(1): p. 1304-1313.
- [6]. Zachariah, T.J., et al., Correlation of metabolites in the leaf and berries of selected black pepper varieties. Scientia Horticulturae, 2010. 123(3): p. 418-422.

- [7]. Ouaguia, L., et al., Control of the inflammatory response mechanisms mediated by natural and induced regulatory T-cells in HCV-, HTLV-1-, and EBV-associated cancers. Mediators of inflammation, 2014. 2014.
- [8]. Williams, C.S., M. Mann, and R.N. DuBois, The role of cyclooxygenases in inflammation, cancer, and development. Oncogene, 1999. 18(55): p. 7908-7916.
- [9]. Font-Nieves, M., et al., Induction of COX-2 enzyme and down-regulation of COX-1 expression by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) control prostaglandin E2 production in astrocytes. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2012. 287(9): p. 6454-6468.
- [10]. Brahmbhatt, M., et al., Analgesic and Anti-inflammatory activity of leaves of Rivea hypocrateriformis. Journal of pharmacognosy and phytotherapy, 2010.
 2(1): p. 001-003.
- [11]. Liu, Y., R. Kakani, and M.G. Nair, Compounds in functional food fenugreek spice exhibit anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities. Food Chemistry, 2012. 131(4): p. 1187-1192.
- [12]. Burian, M. and G. Geisslinger, COX-dependent mechanisms involved in the antinociceptive action of NSAIDs at central and peripheral sites. Pharmacology & therapeutics, 2005. 107(2): p. 139-154.
- [13]. Zeilhofer, H.U. and K. Brune, Analgesic strategies beyond the inhibition of cyclooxygenases. Trends in pharmacological sciences, 2006. 27(9): p. 467-474.
- [14]. Chen, L., et al., Myeloid cell microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 fosters atherogenesis in mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2014.
 111(18): p. 6828-6833.
- [15]. Yamaguchi, Y., M. Brenner, and V.J. Hearing, The regulation of skin pigmentation. Journal of biological chemistry, 2007. 282(38): p. 27557-27561.

- [16]. Choi, H., et al., IL-4 inhibits the melanogenesis of normal human melanocytes through the JAK2–STAT6 signaling pathway. Journal of Investigative Dermatology, 2013. 133(2): p. 528-536.
- [17]. Mantovani, A., et al., Neutrophils in the activation and regulation of innate and adaptive immunity. Nature reviews immunology, 2011. 11(8): p. 519-531.
- [18]. Weiss, S.J., Tissue destruction by neutrophils. New England Journal of Medicine, 1989. 320(6): p. 365-376.
- [19]. Narayanaswamy, R., L.K. Wai, and N.M. Esa, Molecular Docking Analysis of Phytic Acid and 4-hydroxyisoleucine as Cyclooxygenase-2, Microsomal Prostaglandin E Synthase-2, Tyrosinase, Human Neutrophil Elastase, Matrix Metalloproteinase-2 and -9, Xanthine Oxidase, Squalene Synthase, Nitric Oxide Synthase, Human Aldose Reductase, and Lipoxygenase Inhibitors. Pharmacognosy magazine,93; 2017. 13(Suppl 3): p. S512-S518.
- [20]. Ferreira, L.G., et al., Molecular docking and structure-based drug design strategies. Molecules, 2015. 20(7): p. 13384-13421.
- [21]. Shanmugaraj, K., S. Anandakumar, and M. Ilanchelian, Probing the binding interaction of thionine with lysozyme: A spectroscopic and molecular docking investigation. Dyes and Pigments, 2015. 112: p. 210-219.
- [22]. Friesner, R.A., et al., Glide: a new approach for rapid, accurate docking and scoring. 1. Method and assessment of docking accuracy. Journal of medicinal chemistry, 2004. 47(7): p. 1739-1749.
- [23]. Rodríguez, D., et al., Molecular docking screening using agonist-bound GPCR structures: probing the A2A adenosine receptor. Journal of chemical information and modeling, 2015. 55(3): p. 550-563.
- [24]. Narayanaswamy, R., L.K. Wai, and N.M. Esa, Molecular Docking Analysis of Phytic Acid and 4-hydroxyisoleucine as Cyclooxygenase-2, Microsomal

Prostaglandin E Synthase-2, Tyrosinase, Human Neutrophil Elastase, Matrix Metalloproteinase-2 and -9, Xanthine Oxidase, Squalene Synthase, Nitric Oxide Synthase, Human Aldose Reductase, and Lipoxygenase Inhibitors. Pharmacogn Mag, 2017. 13(Suppl 3): p. S512-s518.

- [25]. Shulman, G.I., Cellular mechanisms of insulin resistance. The Journal of clinical investigation, 2000. 106(2): p. 171-176.
- [26]. Azeredo, E.L., et al., NK cells, displaying early activation, cytotoxicity and adhesion molecules, are associated with mild dengue disease. Clinical & Experimental Immunology, 2006. 143(2): p. 345-356.
- [27]. Abbas, A.K., A.H. Lichtman, and S. Pillai, Basic immunology: functions and disorders of the immune system. 2014: Elsevier Health Sciences.
- [28]. Ryan, G., Majno. Acute inflammation: A review. Am J Pathol, 1977. 86: p. 183-276.
- [29]. Barcelos, I.P.d., R.M. Troxell, and J.S. Graves, Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Multiple Sclerosis. Biology, 2019. 8(2): p. 37.
- [30]. Milenkovic, V.M., et al., The Role of Chemokines in the Pathophysiology of Major Depressive Disorder. Int J Mol Sci, 2019. 20(9).
- [31]. Chen, L., et al., Inflammatory responses and inflammation-associated diseases in organs. Oncotarget, 2017. 9(6): p. 7204-7218.
- [32]. Jurado, R.L., Iron, infections, and anemia of inflammation. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 1997. 25(4): p. 888-895.
- [33]. Abudukelimu, A., et al., Predictable Irreversible Switching Between Acute and Chronic Inflammation. Frontiers in immunology, 2018. 9: p. 1596-1596.
- [34]. Ng, S.C., et al., Worldwide incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in the 21st century: a systematic review of population-based studies. The Lancet, 2017. 390(10114): p. 2769-2778.

- [35]. Harikrishnan, S., et al., GBD 2017 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. 2018.
- [36]. Laveti, D., et al., Anti-inflammatory treatments for chronic diseases: a review. Inflammation & Allergy-Drug Targets (Formerly Current Drug Targets-Inflammation & Allergy), 2013. 12(5): p. 349-361.
- [37]. Jahnavi, K., et al., Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: an overview. Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics, 2019. 9(1-s): p. 442-448.
- [38]. Abdulla, A., et al., Guidance on the management of pain in older people. Age and ageing, 2013. 42: p. i1-57.
- [39]. Harirforoosh, S., W. Asghar, and F. Jamali, Adverse effects of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs: an update of gastrointestinal, cardiovascular and renal complications. Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2013. 16(5): p. 821-847.
- [40]. Vane, J.R. and R.M. Botting, Anti-inflammatory drugs and their mechanism of action. Inflamm Res, 1998. 47 Suppl 2: p. S78-87.
- [41]. Kawakita, K. and K. Okada, Acupuncture therapy: mechanism of action, efficacy, and safety: a potential intervention for psychogenic disorders? BioPsychoSocial medicine, 2014. 8(1): p. 4-4.
- [42]. Fagenholz, P.J. and M.A. de Moya, Acute inflammatory surgical disease. Surg Clin North Am, 2014. 94(1): p. 1-30.
- [43]. Nassiri Asl, M., S. Shariati Rad, and F. Zamansoltani, BMC Complement Altern. 2007, Med. vol. 39, no. 3-4), pp. 455-462,2005.
- [44]. Hao, D.-C., Ranunculales medicinal plants: biodiversity, chemodiversity and pharmacotherapy. 2018: Academic Press.

- [45]. Bassam Abdul, R., Medicinal Plants (Importance and Uses). Clinical Pharmacy Discipline, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Sains Malaysia. 11800.
- [46]. Phillipson, J.D., Phytochemistry and medicinal plants. Phytochemistry, 2001. 56(3): p. 237-243.
- [47]. Farnsworth, N.R. and D.D. Soejarto, Global importance of medicinal plants. The conservation of medicinal plants, 1991. 26: p. 25-51.
- [48]. Qiu, J., Traditional medicine: a culture in the balance. 2007, Nature Publishing Group.
- [49]. Chan, K., Some aspects of toxic contaminants in herbal medicines. Chemosphere, 2003. 52(9): p. 1361-1371.
- [50]. Jamshidi-Kia, F., Z. Lorigooini, and H. Amini-Khoei, Medicinal plants: Past history and future perspective. Journal of herbmed pharmacology, 2018. 7(1).
- [51]. Rafieian-Kopaei, M., Medicinal plants and the human needs. Journal of HerbMed Pharmacology, 2012. 1.
- [52]. Verma, S. and S. Singh, Current and future status of herbal medicines. Veterinary world, 2008. 1(11): p. 347.
- [53]. Singh, R., Medicinal plants: A review. Journal of Plant Sciences, 2015. 3(1-1): p. 50-55.
- [54]. Patil, S. and G. Jain, Holistic approach of Trigonella foenum-graecum in Phytochemistry and Pharmacology-A Review. Current Trends in Technology and Science, 2014. 3(1): p. 34-48.
- [55]. Kandhare, A.D., et al., Glycosides based standardized fenugreek seed extract ameliorates bleomycin-induced liver fibrosis in rats via modulation of endogenous enzymes. Journal of pharmacy & bioallied sciences, 2017. 9(3): p. 185.

- [56]. Mandal, S. and M. DebMandal, Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum L.*) oils, in Essential Oils in Food Preservation, Flavor and Safety. 2016, Elsevier.
 p. 421-429.
- [57]. Bark, C., AmericanHerbal Pharmacopoeia. 1999.vol. 3, pp: 49–83.
- [58]. Aromal, S.A. and D. Philip, Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using Trigonella foenum-graecum and its size-dependent catalytic activity. Spectrochimica acta Part A: molecular and biomolecular spectroscopy, 2012. 97: p. 1-5.
- [59]. Nagulapalli Venkata, K.C., et al., A small plant with big benefits: Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum Linn.) for disease prevention and health promotion. Molecular nutrition & food research, 2017. 61(6): p. 1600950.
- [60]. Kumar, A. and P. Zandi, Plant nutraceuticals for cardiovascular diseases with special emphasis to the medicinal herb fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum L.*). American Journal of Social Issues and Humanities, 2014. 4(3): p. 177-89.
- [61]. Malhotra, S., Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). 2011. p. 801-846.
- [62]. Small, E., P. Lassen, and B.S. Brookes, An expanded circumscription of Medicago (Leguminosae, Trifolieae) based on explosive flower tripping. Willdenowia, 1987: p. 415-437.
- [63]. Duke, J., Handbook of legumes of world economic importance. 2012: Springer Science.
- [64]. Rahouma, A., The Most Economic Lepidopterous Pests Attacking Vegetable Crops in Egypt. Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology, 2018. 9(7): p. 417-421.
- [65]. Chopra, R.N., S.L. Nayar, and I.C. Chopra, Glossary of Indian medicinal plants. Vol. 1. 1956: Council of Scientific & Industrial Research New Delhi.
- [66]. Nahas, R. and M. Moher, Complementary and alternative medicine for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Can Fam Physician, 2009. 55(6): p. 591-6.

- [67]. Odewumi, C., et al., Comparative whole genome transcriptome analysis and fenugreek leaf extract modulation on cadmium-induced toxicity in liver cells. Int J Mol Med, 2018. 42(2): p. 735-744.
- [68]. Dixit, P., et al., Pre-treatment of Syndrex® protects mice from becoming diabetic after streptozotocin injection. Fitoterapia, 2010. 81(5): p. 403-412.
- [69]. Zia, T., S.N. Hasnain, and S. Hasan, Evaluation of the oral hypoglycaemic effect of Trigonella foenum-graecum L.(methi) in normal mice. Journal of ethnopharmacology, 2001. 75(2-3): p. 191-195.
- [70]. Oguntibeju, O.O., Medicinal plants with anti-inflammatory activities from selected countries and regions of Africa. Journal of inflammation research, 2018. 11: p. 307.
- [71]. Desai, S., D.G. Desai, and H. Kaur, Saponins and their biological activities. Pharma Times, 2009. 41: p. 13-16.
- [72]. kumar Nanjundan, P., A. Arunachalam, and R.S. Thakur, Antinociceptive Property of Trigonella Foenum Graecum (Fenugreek Seeds) in High Fat Diet-Fed/Low Dose Streptozotocin Induced Diabetic Neuropathy In Rats.
- [73]. Phani, C.R., et al., Quantitative analysis of quercetin in natural sources by RP-HPLC. International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences, 2010. 1(1): p. 19-22.
- [74]. Roy, A., A review on the alkaloids an important therapeutic compound from plants. International Journal of Plant Biotechnology, 2017. 3: p. 1-9.
- [75]. Serafini, M., I. Peluso, and A. Raguzzini, Flavonoids as anti-inflammatory agents. Proc Nutr Soc, 2010. 69(3): p. 273-8.
- [76]. Gautam, S., et al., 4-Hydroxyisoleucine attenuates the inflammation-mediated insulin resistance by the activation of AMPK and suppression of SOCS-3 coimmunoprecipitation with both the IR-β subunit as well as IRS-1. Molecular and cellular biochemistry, 2016. 414(1-2): p. 95-104.

- [77]. Lv, Y., et al., Phytic acid attenuates inflammatory responses and the levels of NF-kB and p-ERK in MPTP-induced Parkinson's disease model of mice. Neuroscience letters, 2015. 597: p. 132-136.
- [78]. Smith, W.L., Y. Urade, and P.J. Jakobsson, Enzymes of the cyclooxygenase pathways of prostanoid biosynthesis. Chem Rev, 2011. 111(10): p. 5821-65.
- [79]. Minghetti, L., et al., Up-regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 expression in cultured microglia by prostaglandin E2, cyclic AMP and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs. European Journal of Neuroscience, 1997. 9(5): p. 934-940.
- [80]. Sindhu, G., et al., Anti-inflammatory and antioxidative effects of mucilage of Trigonella foenum graecum (Fenugreek) on adjuvant induced arthritic rats. International immunopharmacology, 2012. 12(1): p. 205-211.
- [81]. Ricciotti, E. and G.A. FitzGerald, Prostaglandins and inflammation. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol, 2011. 31(5): p. 986-1000.
- [82]. Xiao, L., et al., Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Expression of Microsomal Prostaglandin E Synthase-1 Mediates Late-Phase PGE 2 Production in Bone Marrow Derived Macrophages. PLoS One, 2012. 7(11): p. e50244.
- [83]. Gunaydin, C. and S.S. Bilge, Effects of Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs at the Molecular Level. The Eurasian journal of medicine, 2018. 50(2):
 p. 116-121.
- [84]. Reker, D., et al., Revealing the macromolecular targets of complex natural products. Nature chemistry, 2014. 6(12): p. 1072.
- [85]. Larsson, K. and P.-J. Jakobsson, Inhibition of microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 as targeted therapy in cancer treatment. Prostaglandins & other lipid mediators, 2015. 120: p. 161-165.
- [86]. Thomas, M.P., et al., Leukocyte protease binding to nucleic acids promotes nuclear localization and cleavage of nucleic acid binding proteins. The Journal of Immunology, 2014. 192(11): p. 5390-5397.

- [87]. Siedle, B., A. Hrenn, and I. Merfort, Natural compounds as inhibitors of human neutrophil elastase. Planta medica, 2007. 53(05): p. 401-420.
- [88]. Theos AC, Tenza D, Martina JA, Hurbain I, Peden AA, Sviderskaya EV, Stewart A, Robinson MS, Bennett DC, Cutler DF, Bonifacino JS, Marks MS, Raposo G (Nov 2005). "Functions of adaptor protein (AP)-3 and AP-1 in tyrosinase sorting from endosomes to melanosomes". Molecular Biology of the Cell. 16 (11): 5356–72. doi:10.1091/mbc.E05-07-0626. PMC 1266432. PMID 16162817.
- [89]. Rani, G.J., M. Vinoth, and P. Anitha, Molecular docking studies on oxidosqualene cyclase with 4-piperidinopyridine and 4-piperidinopyrimidine as its inhibitors. Journal of Bioinformatics and Sequence Analysis, 2011. 3(3): p. 31-36.
- [90]. Shoichet, B.K., et al., Lead discovery using molecular docking. Current opinion in chemical biology, 2002. 6(4): p. 439-446.
- [91]. Furman, D., et al., Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. Nature medicine, 2019. 25(12): p. 1822-1832.
- [92]. Deepak, P., J.E. Axelrad, and A.N. Ananthakrishnan, The Role of the Radiologist in Determining Disease Severity in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am, 2019. 29(3): p. 447-470.
- [93]. Rose, P.W., et al., The RCSB Protein Data Bank: views of structural biology for basic and applied research and education. Nucleic acids research, 2015.
 43(Database issue): p. D345-D356.
- [94]. Montella, R., et al., On the Virtualization of Cuda Based Gpu Remoting on Arm and X86 Machines in the GVirtuS Framework. Int. J. Parallel Program., 2017. 45(5): p. 1142–1163.
- [95]. Wilkins, M.R., et al., Protein identification and analysis tools in the ExPASy server. Methods Mol Biol, 1999. 112: p. 531-52.

- [96]. Oany, A., et al., Computational Structure Analysis and Function Prediction of an Uncharacterized Protein (I6U7D0) of Pyrococcus furiosus COM1. Austin Journal of Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, 2014. 1: p. 1-5.
- [97]. Punta, M., et al., The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res, 2012. 40(Database issue): p. D290-301.
- [98]. Roy, A., A. Kucukural, and Y. Zhang, I-TASSER: a unified platform for automated protein structure and function prediction. Nature protocols, 2010. 5(4): p. 725-738.
- [99]. "PubChem Source Information". The PubChem Project. USA: National Center for Biotechnology Information.
- [100]. C. A. Lipinski, "Lead-and drug-like compounds: the rule-of-five revolution," Drug Discovery Today: Technologies, vol. 1, pp. 337-341, 2004.
- [101]. Pagadala NS, Syed K, Tuszynski J. Software for molecular docking: a review. Biophys Rev. 2017;9:91–102.
- [102]. McConkey BJ, Sobolev V, Edelman M. The performance of current methods in ligand-protein docking. Current Science. 2002; 83:845–855.
- [103]. J. Dundas, Z. Ouyang, J. Tseng, A. Binkowski, Y. Turpaz, and J. Liang, "CASTp: computed atlas of surface topography of proteins with structural and topographical mapping of functionally annotated residues," Nucleic acids research, vol. 34, pp. W116-W118, 2006.
- [104]. Wallace AC, Laskowski RA, Thornton JM (February 1995). Ligplot: a program to generate schematic diagrams of protein-ligand interactions". Protein Eng. 8 (2): 127–34. doi:10.1093/protein/8.2.127. PMID 7630882.
- [105]. D. E. Pires, T. L. Blundell, and D. B. Ascher, "pkCSM: predicting smallmolecule pharmacokinetic and toxicity properties using graph-based signatures," Journal of medicinal chemistry, vol. 58, pp. 4066-4072, 2015.

- [106]. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000 Jan 1;28(1):27-30. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.27. PMID: 10592173; PMCID: PMC102409.
- [107]. Iovoli AJ, Hermann GM, Ma SJ, et al. Association of Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drug Use With Survival in Patients With Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck Treated With Chemoradiation Therapy. Jama Netw Open. 2020;3(6):e207199. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.7199.
- [108]. Verdonk ML, Cole JC, Hartshorn MJ, Murray CW, Taylor RD. Improved proteinligand docking using GOLD. Proteins. 2003;52:609–23.
- [109]. Park CW, Ma KW, Jang SW, Son M, Kang MJ. Comparison of piroxicam pharmacokinetics and anti-inflammatory effect in rats after intra-articular and intramuscular administration. Biomol Ther (Seoul). 2014;22(3):260-266. doi:10.4062/biomolther.2014.037.
- [110]. A. Jaiswal, A. Chhabra, U. Malhotra, S. Kohli, and V. Rani, "Comparative analysis of human matrix metalloproteinases: Emerging therapeutic targets in diseases," Bioinformation, vol. 6, pp. 23-30, 2011.
- [111]. V. K. Morya, S. Yadav, E.-K. Kim, and D. Yadav, "In silico characterization of alkaline proteases from different species of Aspergillus," Applied biochemistry and biotechnology, vol. 166, pp. 243-257, 2012.
- [112]. J. Kyte and R. F. Doolittle, "A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein," Journal of Molecular Biology, vol. 157, no. 1, pp. 105–132, 1982.
- [113]. Yang J, Zhang Y. I-TASSER server: new development for protein structure and function predictions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015 Jul 1;43(W1):W174-81. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv342. Epub 2015 Apr 16. PMID: 25883148; PMCID: PMC4489253.

- [114]. Rouzer CA, Marnett LJ. Cyclooxygenases: structural and functional insights. J Lipid Res. 2009;50 Suppl(Suppl):S29-S34. doi:10.1194/jlr.R800042-JLR200.
- [115]. Mulder NJ, Apweiler R. Tools and resources for identifying protein families, domains and motifs. Genome Biol. 2002;3(1): Reviews 2001. doi:10.1186/gb-2001-3-1-reviews2001.
- [116]. Genoni, A., Pennati, M., Morra, G., Zaffaroni, N., & Colombo, G. (2012). Ligand selection from the analysis of protein conformational substates: new leads targeting the N-terminal domain of Hsp90. RSC Advances, 2(10), 4268. doi:10.1039/c2ra00911k.
- [117]. Kim S, Thiessen PA, Bolton EE, Chen J, Fu G, Gindulyte A, Han L, He J, He S, Shoemaker BA, Wang J, Yu B, Zhang J, Bryant SH. Pub-Chem Substance and Compound databases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D1202-13. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv951. Epub 2015 Sep 22. PMID: 26400175; PMCID: PMC4702940.
- [118]. D. E. Pires, T. L. Blundell, and D. B. Ascher, "pkCSM: predicting smallmolecule pharmacokinetic and toxicity properties using graph-based signatures," Journal of medicinal chemistry, vol. 58, pp. 4066-4072, 2015.
- [119]. Meiler J, Baker D. Rosettaligand: protein-small molecule docking with full side-chain flexibility. Proteins. 2006;65:538–48.
- [120]. Morris G, Huey R. AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. J Comput Chem. 2010;30:2785–91.
- [121]. Laskowski RA, Swindells MB. LigPlot+: multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. J Chem Inf Model. 2011 Oct 24;51(10):2778-86. doi: 10.1021/ci200227u. Epub 2011 Oct 5. PMID: 21919503.
- [122]. Bhinge A, Chakrabarti P, Uthanumallian K, Bajaj K, Chakraborty K, et al. Accurate detection of protein:ligand binding sites using molecular dynamics simulations. Structure. 2004;12:1989–1999.

- [123]. Flower R: What are all the things that aspirin does; BMJ. 2003 Sep 13;327(7415):572-3. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7415.572.
- [124]. Mazaleuskaya Liudmila L, Theken Katherine N, Gong Li, Thorn Caroline F, FitzGerald Garret A, Altman Russ B, and Klein Teri E; Pharmacogenetics and genomics 2015. PMID: 25502615. PMCID: PMC4355401. DOI: 10.1097/FPC.000000000000113.
- [125]. Rong Fu, Mingju Shen, Yaping Ding, Musen Li, Li Li, Zhongming Ren, Qingsheng Wu, Electrocatalytic Oxidation and Sensitive Determination of Paracetamol Based on Nanosheets Self-assembled Lindgrenite Microflowers, Electroanalysis, 10.1002/elan.201900504, 32, 5, (978-985), (2020).
- [126]. McAdam BF, Catella-Lawson F, Mardini IA, Kapoor S, Lawson JA, FitzGerald GA. Systemic biosynthesis of prostacyclin by cyclooxygenase (COX)-2: the human pharmacology of a selective 98; inhibitor of COX-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999 Jan 05;96(1):272-7.
- [127]. Kearney PM, Baigent C, Godwin J, Halls H, Emberson JR, Patrono C: Do selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors and traditional non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs increase the risk of atherothrombosis; Meta-analysis of randomised trials. BMJ. 2006 Jun 3;332(7553):1302-8.
- [128]. Todd PA, Clissold SP: Naproxen. A reappraisal of its pharmacology, and therapeutic use in rheumatic diseases and pain states. Drugs. 1990 Jul;40(1):91-137. doi: 10.2165/00003495-199040010-00006.
- [129]. Shin S: Safety of celecoxib versus traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in older patients with arthritis. J Pain Res. 2018 Dec 14;11:3211-3219. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S186000. eCollection 2018; (PubMed ID 30588073).
- [130]. Bensen WG, Fiechtner JJ, McMillen JI, et al.Treatment of osteoarthritis with celecoxib, a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor: a randomized controlled trial. Mayo Clin Proc 1999; 74:1095–1105.

- [131]. Gong L, Thorn CF, Bertagnolli MM, Grosser T, Altman RB, Klein TE: Celecoxib pathways: pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2012 Apr;22(4):310-8. doi: 10.1097/FPC.0b013e32834f94cb. (PubMed ID 22336956).
- [132]. Hawkey CJ: COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2001 Oct;15(5):801-20. doi: 10.1053/bega.2001.0236. (PubMed ID 11566042).